@ BELLSOUTH

11...

Suite 2101

333 Commerce Street

Nashville, Tennessee 37201-3300

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

615 214-6301 Fax 615 214-7406 Guy M. Hicks General Counsel

t C6

November 10, 1999 10

EMZOUTIVE RECOEMEN

VIA HAND DELIVERY

David Waddell, Executive Secretary Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37238

Re:

Petition by ICG TELECOM GROUP, INC. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Docket No. 99-00377

Dear Mr. Waddell:

Enclosed are the original and thirteen copies of the following rebuttal testimony filed on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

David Coon Daonne Caldwell Alphonso Varner.

Copies of the enclosed are being provided to counsel of record for all parties.

Very truly yours,

Guy M. Hicks

GMH:ch Enclosure

		REO'D TH REOU' (- C.V.)
1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC.
2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF D. DAONNE CALDWELL
3		BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY ETABLY
4		DOCKET NO. 99-00377
5		NOVEMBER 10, 1999
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.
8		
9	A.	My name is D. Daonne Caldwell. My business address is 675 W.
10		Peachtree St., N.E., Atlanta, Georgia. I am a Director in the Finance
11		Department of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (hereinafter referred
12		to as "BellSouth"). My area of responsibility relates to economic costs.
13		
14	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
15		
16	A.	Yes. I filed direct testimony on October 29, 1999 in which I presented
17		the cost study results for the network capabilities requested in the ICG
18		Telecom Group, Inc. ("ICG") petition.
19		
20	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
21		
22	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to ICG's claim that
23		BellSouth realizes cost savings from volume and term commitments.
24		Specifically, I discuss allegations made by ICG witness, Mr. Michael
25		Starkey

1	Issue 6: Should volume and term discounts be available for UNEs? Have		
2		specific volumes and terms for given items been identified? If so,	
3		what are they?	
4			
5	Q.	BASED ON BELLSOUTH'S COST METHODOLOGY, ARE VOLUME	
6		AND TERM DISCOUNTS APPROPRIATE?	
7			
8	A.	No. Arguments for additional discounts are based on perceived	
9		savings that BellSouth obtains from "economies of scale". However,	
10		BellSouth already recognizes the only applicable "economies of scale"	
11		in developing costs for unbundled network elements ("UNEs"). The	
12		only savings arise from differences in provisioning activities (and costs)	
13		when orders contain more than one unit. Thus, the savings only apply	
14		to nonrecurring costs. The rate structure and the cost study reflect	
15		these cost differences by differentiating between first and additional	
16		nonrecurring costs.	
17			
18	Q.	SHOULD ANY ADDITIONAL REDUCTION TO THE NONRECURRING	
19		COSTS BE CONSIDERED? ALSO, IS IT APPROPRIATE TO	
20		REDUCE RECURRING COSTS DUE TO VOLUME COMMITMENTS?	
21			
22	A.	No. Any additional reduction beyond what is reflected in BellSouth's	
23		cost studies to nonrecurring costs and any attempt to reduce recurring	
24		costs are unjustified for the following reasons:	

25

1 1) BellSouth does not receive additional discounts on material beyond
2 those already contained in the studies for deploying additional
3 unbundled elements. Thus, there is no room for providing an additional
4 discount.

2)

The Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") has ordered modifications to BellSouth's TELRIC studies originally filed in Docket No. 97-01262 such that the anticipated rates will probably fall below what BellSouth filed. Thus, BellSouth will not fully recover the incremental cost when selling unbundled network elements. Any additional reduction beyond the mandated rates will only compound the problem.

3)

Fulfillment of this request would obligate BellSouth to restudy the cost for those customers not receiving volume and term discounts since the cost methodology is currently based on a statewide average. This would exacerbate the shortfall between BellSouth's cost and the state mandated rate even further.

4)

Volume discounts would violate §51.511 of the FCC order, which states that the forward-looking economic cost per unit is derived from "a reasonable projection of the sum of the total number of units of the element." Purchases from ICG, as well as from all CLECs, must be incorporated into that equation. Thus, discounts based on "volume commitments" from one CLEC are not appropriate.

1		BellSouth witness, Mr. Varner, elaborates further on why volume and
2		term discounts are inappropriate in his rebuttal testimony.
3		
4	Q.	ON PAGE 20 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. STARKEY ARGUES THAT
5		ICG'S COMMITMENT TO A VOLUME PURCHASE WILL INCREASE
6		BELLSOUTH'S NETWORK UTILIZATION AND THUS, REDUCE
7		COST. IS HE CORRECT?
8		
9	A.	No. First, in Docket No. 97-01262, the Tennessee Regulatory
0		Authority ("TRA") has already reviewed utilization and fill factors with
1		respect to the Federal Communication Commission's ("FCC's")
2		directives in the Local Competition Order ("Order"). A major objective in
3		that docket was to evaluate BellSouth's cost methodology for
4		compliance with the principles outlined in the FCC Order which
15		mandates a forward-looking perspective with respect to utilization. In
16		Docket No. 97-01262, the TRA modified BellSouth's proposed factors
17		for the calculation of loop-related costs. Other utilization factors were
18		accepted as proposed by BellSouth. Both sets of utilization factors
19		reflect future trends in utilization, including any orders from ICG.
20		
21		Second, Mr. Starkey's mathematical exercise is without merit. Mr.
22		Starkey uses a hypothetical example with no substance, nor real world
23		application. Utilization factors are developed for the entire network, not
24		for isolated elements or areas. ICG's commitment to purchase bulk

25

(volume) quantities will have little impact on the utilization of BellSouth's

entire network in the state of Tennessee. Additionally, ICG's commitment will become part of BellSouth's planned network deployment. Thus, if ICG's bulk purchase increases the utilization substantially, BellSouth would find it necessary to initiate a relief project to reinforce the area to maintain quality service. The overall impact of an ICG volume commitment on utilization would be minimal.

9 ON PAGES 21-22 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. STARKEY ARGUES 10 THAT COMMON COSTS WILL BE REDUCED DUE TO VOLUME 10 COMMITMENTS. IS HE CORRECT?

Α.

No. First, Mr. Starkey's method of recovering common cost, by dividing the total common cost by demand, is simplistic and flawed. BellSouth appropriately developed common cost factors based on a relationship between expenses and investments using FCC-approved allocation methods. Additionally, the expenses and investments used in the BellSouth calculation reflect forward-looking projections, whereas, Mr. Starkey's calculation only displays one point in time. By utilizing future projections, any fluctuation in demand, and thus investment, has already been considered. Second, it is improbable that ICG's commitment to purchase bulk quantities of elements would effect BellSouth's calculation. The denominator (i.e., investment-related costs) used to calculate the common factor in BellSouth's filing in Tennessee in Docket No. 97-01262 was in excess of \$15 billion.

1		of common cost factors in Docket No. 97-01262 and has set the shared
2		and common factor to 15%, a factor the TRA believes is forward-
3		looking. Mr. Starkey offers no compelling argument to revisit that
4		decision.
5		
6	Q.	ON PAGE 22 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. STARKEY ALSO ARGUES
7		THAT TERM COMMITMENTS WOULD MINIMIZE THE POTENTIAL
8		FOR STRANDED INVESTMENTS. FROM A COST METHODOLOGY
9		PERSPECTIVE, IS HIS ARGUMENT VALID?
10		
11	A.	No. One of the guidelines of the TELRIC methodology is that the cost
12		studies are long-run in nature and in the long-run all costs are variable
13		(i.e., reusable). Thus, Mr. Starkey's argument has no foundation in
14		determining TELRIC economic costs since no investment is assumed to
15		be stranded under these cost methodology guidelines.
16		
17	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
18		
19	A.	Yes.
20		
21		
22		
23	185669	
24		
25		

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF GEORGIA) : COUNTY OF FULTON)

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the State and County aforesaid, personally came and appeared D. Daonne Caldwell, Director in the Finance Department for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., who, being by me first duly sworn deposed and said that:

She is appearing as a witness before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority in Docket No. 99-00377 on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., and if present before the Authority and duly sworn, her testimony would be set forth in the annexed testimony consisting of ______ pages and _____ exhibit(s).

D. Daonne Cakwelf

D. Daonne Caldwell

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 20 ml day of October, 1999

TERESA L. ROCKWELL

Notary Public, Gwinnett County, Georgia My Commission Expires October 28, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 10, 1999, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record, via the method indicated:

Hand Mail Facsimile	Gary Hotvedt, Esquire Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway
] Overnight	Nashville, TN 37243-0500
Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight	Henry Walker, Esquire Boult, Cummings, et al. 414 Union Ave., #1600 P. O. Box 198062 Nashville, TN 37219-8062



REO') T	
REGULAT	\mathbb{N}	HTU.

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. 107
2	2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DAVID A. COON
3	3	BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATIONY AUTHORITY
4	ļ	DOCKET NO. 99-00377
5	;	NOVEMBER 10, 1999
6	i	
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH
8	;	TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("BELLSOUTH") AND YOUR
9	ı	BUSINESS ADDRESS.
10	1	
11	A.	My name is David A. Coon. I am employed by BellSouth as Director -
12		Interconnection Services for the nine-state BellSouth region. My
13		business address is 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia
14		30375.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE AND
17		EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?
18		
19	A.	My career at BellSouth spans over 20 years and includes positions in
20		Network, Regulatory, Finance, Corporate Planning, Small Business
21		Services and Interconnection Operations. Prior to BellSouth I
22		performed a variety of functions in the Network, Regulatory and
23		Marketing Support organizations of C&P Telephone Company-
24		Washington. I have extensive experience in the development and use
25		of quantitative measurements and results including the establishment,

1		analysis and monitoring of BellSouth process measures. I received a
2		Bachelors Degree in Civil Engineering from Ohio University and a
3		Masters Degree in Engineering Administration from George
4		Washington University. I received the Certified Management
5		Accountant (CMA) designation in 1996 from the Institute of
6		Management Accountants.
7		
8	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
9		•
10	A.	No.
11		
12	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
13		
14	A.	I will respond to the direct testimony of ICG witness Gwen Rowling as it
15		relates specifically to performance measures. Although Ms. Rowling
16		says performance measurements are related to Issues 5, and 19-26,
17		Ms. Rowling is confusing performance measures with performance
18		penalties. I will address the measurements aspect of this issue.
19		BellSouth witness, Alphonso Varner will address the issue of
20		enforcement mechanisms.
21		
22	Q.	ON PAGE 2 OF HER TESTIMONY, MS. ROWLING ALLEGES THAT
23		"BELLSOUTH HAS INDICATED THAT IT IS ONLY WILLING TO
24		ENGAGE IN DISCUSSIONS WITH THE FEDERAL
25		COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION ("FCC") ON ISSUES RELATING

ı		TO PERFORMANCE MEASURES. THEREFORE, COMMISSION
2		INTERVENTION IS NEEDED TO RESOLVE THIS CONTROVERSY."
3		HOW DO YOU RESPOND TO THIS ALLEGATION?
4		
5	A.	Ms. Rowling's statement is inaccurate. She appears to believe that
6		performance measures and enforcement mechanisms are interlocked
7		and cannot be considered as separate issues. BellSouth views these
8		issues as related but certainly separate issues. Again, BellSouth
9		witness Alphonso Varner will specifically address enforcement
10		mechanisms. As for performance measures, BellSouth is, and always
11		has been, willing to negotiate issues associated with performance
12		measures. This is evidenced by BellSouth's continued participation in
13		the Louisiana performance measurements workshops in which
14		BellSouth and a consortium of CLECs actively negotiate and resolve
15		issues associated with performance measures as relates to the CLEC
16		industry in general.
17		
18	Q.	DO YOU AGREE THAT PERFORMANCE MEASURES ARE AN
19		IMPORTANT ISSUE AS CITED BY MS. ROWLING ON PAGE 3 OF
20		HER TESTIMONY?
21		
22	A.	Absolutely. Ms. Rowling cites five (5) essential elements (preordering,
23		ordering, provisioning, billing, and repair and maintenance) as elements
24		upon which ICG is dependent on BellSouth's performance. BellSouth's
25		current Service Quality Measurements (SQMs) address all five of these

1		elements plus four (4) additional elements, namely; 1) operator
2		services toll and directory assistance; 2) E911; 3) trunk group
3		performance; and 4) collocation on which ICG can gauge BellSouth's
4		performance. BellSouth's measurements are the result of nearly two
5		years of work with several state commissions and input from the
6		CLECs. The SQMs are sufficient for the CLEC industry as a whole and
7		should be sufficient for ICG as well. In fact, numerous CLECs currently
8		have Agreements with BellSouth in Tennessee and these Agreements
9		include BellSouth's SQMs. Attached, as Exhibit DAC-1, is a copy of
10		BellSouth's Service Quality Measurements.
11		
12	Q.	WAS THIS ISSUE RECENTLY RESOLVED IN GEORGIA?
13		
14	A.	Yes. Last week ICG agreed to accept BellSouth's SQMs as the
15		performance measures for the Agreement. The parties also agreed to
16		amend the Agreement if additional measures are adopted by
17		agreement of the parties, order of the Georgia or Louisiana
18		Commission, or written consensus between the CLECs and BellSouth
19		in the Louisiana workshops.
20		
21	Q.	ON PAGE 3 OF HER TESTIMONY, MS. ROWLING CITES THREE
22		EXAMPLES OF STATE COMMISSIONS OUTSIDE OF BELLSOUTH'S
23		REGION THAT HAVE ADOPTED PERFORMANCE MEASURES. IN
24		EACH OF THESE EXAMPLES, THE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
25		RESULTED FROM COLLABORATIVE PROCESSES. DO YOU

	1		KNOW OF ANY STATE COMMISSIONS INSIDE OF BELLSOUTH'S
	2		REGION WHO HAVE ADOPTED PERFORMANCE MEASURES
	3		THROUGH A COLLABORATIVE PROCESS AND/OR PLAYED A
	4		PART IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF BELLSOUTH'S SERVICE
	5		QUALITY MEASUREMENTS?
	6		
	7 /	A.	Yes. Hearings were held in several states in the BellSouth region in
	8		which BellSouth and all CLECs had an opportunity to present their
	9		respective positions on Performance Measurements. Following those
	10		hearings, Commission Orders were issued by the Georgia Commission
	11		(Docket 7892-U) and the Louisiana Commission (Docket U-22252,
	12		SubDocket C) specifying the Performance Measurements to be used.
	13		The Mississippi Commission adopted BellSouth's recommended
	14		performance measurements as attached to its SGAT in Docket 97-AD-
	15		0321. The Alabama Commission (Docket 25835) issued a Procedural
	16		Ruling on December 11, 1998, requiring BellSouth to file monthly
	17		performance measurements results for Alabama based on the
	18		BellSouth SQMs.
	19		
:	20 (Q .	WHY SHOULD THE ARBITRATORS ADOPT BELLSOUTH'S
:	21		SERVICE QUALITY MEASUREMENTS AS OPPOSED TO
:	22		MANDATING THE MEASUREMENTS ADOPTED BY THE TEXAS
:	23		COMMISSION AS SUGGESTED BY MS. ROWLING ON PAGE 4 OF
:	24		HER TESTIMONY?
:	25		

l	Λ.	in order to monitor non-discriminatory access, the Tennessee
2		Regulatory Authority must have a set of Performance Measurements
3		that is consistent for all CLECs and for the retail units of BellSouth. If
4		each CLEC has a separate set of mandated Performance
5		Measurements for its Interconnection Agreement as ICG is suggesting
6		comparisons between the service quality provided to the CLECs and to
7		BellSouth retail units would be impossible. As previously stated,
8		numerous CLECs in Tennessee already have signed Agreements with
9		BellSouth that include the BellSouth SQMs.
10		
11		Furthermore, there is the more practical matter of how to administer all
12		the data required for multiple sets of measurements. BellSouth has
13		invested in excess of \$50M developing the capability required for the
14		current set of Performance Measurements. As of October 1, 1999, 817
15		CLECs have signed Agreements with BellSouth in BellSouth's region.
16		To attempt to produce a separate set of mandated performance
17		measurements for each one of them would be a near impossibility. It
18		would be inconsistent with the FCC's desire that performance
19		measurements and reporting requirements should "balance our goal of
20		detecting possible instances of discrimination with our goal of
21		minimizing, to the extent possible, burdens imposed on incumbent
22		LECs", Notice of Proposed Rule Making (CC Docket 98-56) at
23		Paragraph 36.
24		
25	Q.	ON PAGES 7 AND 8 OF HER TESTIMONY, MS. ROWLING

1		DELINEATES THE CATEGORIES OF ACTIVITIES THAT ARE
2		MONITORED BY THE TEXAS PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS.
3		HAS ICG MADE ANY COMPARISON OF THE TEXAS
4		MEASUREMENTS AND THE BELLSOUTH SQMs?
5		
6	A.	No. I have not seen any comparison of the two performance
7		measurement plans by ICG.
8		
9	Q.	HAS BELLSOUTH COMPARED THE TEXAS PLAN PROPOSED BY
10		MS. ROWLING TO THE BELLSOUTH SERVICE QUALITY
11		MEASUREMENTS?
12		
13	A.	Yes, attached as Exhibit DAC-2, is a detailed, explicit measurement by
14		measurement comparison of the Texas performance measurements
15		which were attached to Ms. Rowling's testimony as Exhibit 1, with
16		BellSouth's Service Quality Measurements attached to this testimony
17		as Exhibit DAC-1.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT DOES EXHIBIT DAC-2 DEMONSTRATE?
20		
21	A.	Significantly, Exhibit DAC-2 demonstrates that the BellSouth SQMs are
22		very similar in content and are at least as comprehensive as the
23		performance measurements proposed by ICG.
24		
25	Q.	ON PAGES 8 THROUGH 10 OF HER TESTIMONY, MS. ROWLING

1		EXPLAINS HOW THE TEXAS PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS
2		ARE DELINEATED. HOW DOES THIS COMPARE WITH HOW
3		BELLSOUTH DELINEATES THE PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS
4		IN THE BELLSOUTH SQMs?
5		
6	Α.	BellSouth's SQMs have all six (6) levels of delineation described in Ms.
7		Rowling's testimony: 1) Clearly Defined Business Rules; 2) Exclusions,
8		if any; 3) The Method of Calculation; 4) Report Structure; 5) Levels of
9		Disaggregation; and 6) Benchmarks. In fact, the BellSouth SQMs
10		have two (2) additional levels of delineation, 7) Data Retained Relating
11		to CLEC Experience; and 8) Data Retained Relating to BST
12		Experience.
13		
14	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
15		
16	A.	Yes
17		
18		
19	185696	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CATEGORY FUNCTION* PAGE #

CATEGORY		PAGE#
Pre-Ordering - OSS	1. Average OSS Response Time and Response Interval	2
Ordering	2. OSS Interface Availability	4
Ordering	1. Percent Flow-through Service Requests (Summary)	5
	2. Percent Flow-through Service Requests (Detail)	7
	3. Flow-through Error Analysis	9
	4. Percent Rejected Service Requests	13
	5. Reject Interval6. Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness	14
		15
Provisioning	7. Speed of Answer in Ordering Center	17
Trovisioning	1. Mean Held Order Interval & Distribution Intervals	18
	2. Average Jeopardy Notice Interval & Percentage of	20
	Orders Given Jeopardy Notices	
	3. Percent Missed Installation Appointments4. Average Completion Interval Order Completion	22
	Interval Distribution	24
	5. Average Completion Notice Interval	26
	6. Coordinated Customer Conversions	26
	7. Percent Provisioning Troubles w/i 30 days	28
	8. Total Service Order Cycle Time	29
Maintenance & Repair	Missed Repair Appointments	31
	2. Customer Trouble Report Rate	33
	3. Maintenance Average Duration	35
	4. Percent Repeat Troubles w/i 30 days)	37
	5. Out of Service > 24 Hours	39 41
	6. OSS Interface Availability	43
	7. OSS Response Interval and Percentages	44
	8. Average Answer Time - Repair Centers	45
Billing	1. Invoice Accuracy	46
•	2. Mean Time to Deliver Invoices	47
	3. Usage Data Delivery Accuracy	48
	4. Usage Data Delivery Completeness	49
	5. Usage Data Delivery Timeliness	50
	6. Mean Time to Deliver Usage	51
Operator Services (Toll) and	1. Average Speed to Answer (Toll)	52
Directory Assistance	2. Percent Answered within "X" Seconds (Toll)	53
	3. Average Speed to Answer (DA)	54
	4. Percent Answered within "X" Seconds (DA)	55
E911	1. Timeliness	56
	2. Accuracy	57
	3. Mean Interval	58
Trunk Group Performance	Trunk Group Service Report	59
	2. Trunk Group Service Detail	60
Collocation	Average Response Time	61
	2. Average Arrangement Time	62
	3. % of Due Dates Missed	63
Appendix A	Reporting Scope	64
Appendix B	Glossary of Acronyms and Terms	66
Appendix C	Audit Policy	71
4. 5-4		

^{*} These reports are subject to change due to regulatory requirements or to correct errors and etc.

PRE-ORDERING - OSS

Report/Measurement:

Average OSS Response Time and Response Interval

Definition:

Average response time and response intervals are the average times and number of requests responded to within certain intervals for accessing legacy data associated with appointment scheduling, service & feature availability, address verification, request for Telephone Numbers (TNs), and Customer Service Records (CSRs).

Exclusions:

None

Business Rules:

The average response time for retrieving pre-order/order information from a given legacy system is determined by summing the response times for all requests submitted to the legacy during the reporting period and dividing by the total number of legacy requests for that day X 100. The response interval starts when the client application (LENS or TAG for CLECs and RNS for BST) submits a request to the legacy system and ends when the appropriate response is returned to the client application. The number of legacy accesses during the reporting period, which take less than 2.3 seconds and the number, which take more than 6 seconds are also captured.

Level of Disaggregation:

- RSAG Address (Regional Street Address Guide- Address) stores street address information used to validate customer addresses
- RSAG TN (Regional Street Address Guide- Telephone Number) contains information about facilities available and telephone numbers working at a given address.
- ATLAS (Application for Telephone Number Load Administration and Selection) acts as a warehouse for storing telephone numbers that are available for assignment by the system. It enables CLECs and BST service reps to select and reserve telephone numbers.
- COFFI (Central Office Feature File Interface) stores information about product and service
 offerings and availability.
- DSAP (DOE Support Application) provides due date information.
- HAL (Hands-Off Assignment Logic) a system used to access the Business Office Customer Record Information System (BOCRIS). It allows BST servers, including LENS, access to legacy systems.
- P/SIMS (Product/Services Inventory Management System) provides information on capacity, tariffs, inventory and service availability.
- OASIS (Obtain Available Services Information Systems) Information on feature and rate availability.

Calculation:

 Σ [(Date & Time of Legacy Response) – (Date & Time of Request to Legacy)] / (Number of Legacy Requests During the Reporting Period) X 100

Report Structure:

- Not CLEC Specific
- Not product/service specific
- Regional Level

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:			
Report Month	Report Month			
 Legacy Contract (per reporting dimension) 	Legacy Contract (per reporting dimension)			
 Response Interval 	Response Interval			
 Regional Scope 	Regional Scope			
Retail Analog/Benchmark				
CLEC Assessed Bernance Internal in the				

CLEC Average Response Interval is comparable to BST Average Response Interval

Revision date: 09/14/99 (lg)

LEGACY SYSTEM ACCESS TIMES FOR RNS

System	Contract	Data	< 2.3 sec	> 6 sec	Avg. Sec	# of Calls
RSAG	RSAG-TN	Address	Х	x	x	х
RSAG	RSAG-ADDR	Address	х	x	x	х
ATLAS	ATLAS-TN	TN	х	x	x	x
DSAP	DSAP-DDI	Schedule	х	x	x	Х
CRIS	CRSACCTS	CSR	х	x	x	X
OASIS	OASISBSN	Feature/Service	х	x	x	х
OASIS	OASISCAR	Feature/Service	х	x	х	X
OASIS	OASISLPC	Feature/Service	х	x	x	x
OASIS	OASISMTN	Feature/Service	х	x	х	x
OASIS	OASISBIG	Feature/Service	х	x	x	x

LEGACY SYSTEM ACCESS TIMES FOR LENS

System	Contract	Data	< 2.3 sec	> 6 sec	Avg. Sec	# of Calls
RSAG	RSAG-TN	Address	х	x	x	x
RSAG	RSAG-ADDR	Address	х	x	х	X
ATLAS	ATLAS-TN	TN	x	x	х	x
DSAP	DSAPDDI	Schedule	x	x	х	x
HAL	HAL/CRIS	CSR	x	x	x	x
COFFI	COFFI/USOC	Feature/Service	х	x	х	x
P/SIMS	PSIMS/ORB	Feature/Service	х	x	x	x

LEGACY SYSTEM ACCESS TIMES FOR TAG

System	Contract	Data	< 2.3 sec	> 6 sec	Avg. Sec	# of Calls
RSAG	RSAG-TN	Address	х	x	x	x
RSAG	RSAG-ADDR	Address	х	x	X	х
ATLAS	ATLASTN	TN	х	x	x	X
DSAP	DSAPDDI	Schedule	x	x	x	x
HAL	HAL/CRIS	CSR	х	x	x	x
CRIS	CRSEINIT	CSR	x	х	х	x
CRIS	CRSECSR	CSR	х	x	x	x

Revision date: 08/10/99 (lg)

PRE-ORDERING - OSS

Report/Measurement:			
OSS Interface Availability			
Definition:			
Percent of time OSS interface is functionally available	ple compared to scheduled availability. Availability		
percentages for CLEC interface systems and for all	Legacy systems accessed by them are captured		
Exclusions:			
None			
Business Rules:			
This measurement captures the availability percental during Pre-Ordering functions. Comparison to BST opportunity exists for the CLEC to deliver a comparation of the CLEC to deliver a comparation of the	results allow conclusions as to whether an equal		
Level of Disaggregation:			
Regional Level			
Calculation:			
(Functional Availability) / (Scheduled Availability)	X 100		
Report Structure:			
Not CLEC Specific			
 Not product/service specific 			
Regional Level			
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience		
Report Month	Report Month		
 Legacy contract type (per reporting dimension) Legacy contract type (per reporting dimension) 			
Regional Scope Regional Scope			
Retail Analog/Benchmark:			
CLEC OSS Interface Availability is comparable to B	ST OSS Interface Availability		
	D 1 OBS Interface Availability		

Revision date: 09/14/99 (lg)

OSS Interface Availability

OSS Interface	% Availability	
LENS	X	
LEO Mainframe	X	
LEO UNIX	X	
LESOG	X	
EDI	X	
HAL	x	
BOCRIS	x	
ATLAS/COFFI	X	
RSAG/DSAP	X	
SOCS	X	
TAG	X	

ORDERING

Report/Measurement:

Percent Flow Through Service Requests (Summary)

Definition:

The percentage of Local Service Requests (LSR) submitted electronically via the CLEC mechanized ordering process that flow through to SOCS without manual intervention

Exclusions:

- Fatal Rejects
- Auto Clarification
- Manual Fallout
- CLEC System Fallout
- Supplements (subsequent versions) to cancel LSRs that are not LESOG eligible (Under development)

Business Rules:

The CLEC mechanized ordering process includes all LSRs, including supplements (subsequent versions) which are submitted through one of the three gateway interfaces (TAG, EDI, and LENS), and flow through to SOCS without manual intervention. These LSRs can be divided into two classes of service; Business and Residence, and three types of service; Resale, Unbundled Network Elements (UNE), and specials. The CLEC mechanized ordering process does not include LSRs, which are, submitted manually (e.g., fax, and courier), or are not designed to flow through, i.e., Manual Fallout.

Definitions:

Fatal Rejects: Errors that prevent an LSR, submitted by the CLEC, from being processed further. When an LSR is submitted by a CLEC, LEO will perform edit checks to ensure the data received is correctly formatted and complete. For example, if the PON field contains an invalid character, LEO will reject the LSR and the CLEC will receive a Fatal Reject.

Auto-Clarification: errors that occur due to invalid data within the LSR. LESOG will perform data validity checks to ensure the data within the LSR is correct and valid. For example, if the address on the LSR is not valid according to RSAG, the CLEC will receive an Auto-Clarification.

Manual Fallout: errors that occur by design. Certain LSRs are designed to fallout of the Mechanized Order Process due to their complexity. These LSRs are manually processed by the LCSC. When a CLEC submits an LSR, LESOG will determine if the LSR should be forwarded to LCSC for manual handling. Following are the categories for Manual Fallout.

- 1. Complex services*
- 2. Expedites (requested by the CLEC)
- 3. Special pricing plans
- 4. Denials-restore and conversion, or disconnect and conversion orders
- 5. Partial migrations
- 6. Class of service invalid in certain states with some types of service
- 7. New telephone number not yet posted to BOCRIS
- 8. Low volume such as activity type "T" (move)
- 9. Pending order review required
- 10. More than 25 business lines
- 11. Restore or suspend for UNE combos
- 12. Transfer of calls option for the CLEC's end users
- 13. CSR inaccuracies such as invalid or missing CSR data in CRIS
- * Attached is a list of services, including complex services, and whether LSRs issued for the services are eligible to flow through.

<u>Total System Fallout</u>: Errors that require manual review by the LCSC to determine if the error is caused by the CLEC, or is due to system functionality. If it is determined the error is caused by the CLEC, the LSR will be sent back to the CLEC as clarification. If it is determined the error is BST caused, the LCSC representative will correct the error.

ORDERING - (Percent Flow Through Service Requests (Summary) - Continued)

Calculation:

Percent Flow Through Service Requests = Σ [(Total number of valid service requests that flow-through to SOCS)] / (Total number of valid service requests delivered to SOCS) X 100

Description:

Percent Flow Through = (The total number of LSRs that flow through LESOG to SOCS) / (the number of LSRs passed from LEO to LESOG) – Σ [(the number of LSRs that fall out for manual processing) + (the number of LSRs that are returned to the CLEC for clarification) + (the number of LSRs that contain errors made by CLECs)] X 100.

Report Structure:

- CLEC Aggregate
 - > Region

Level of Disaggregation:

- Geography
 - > Region
- Product (Under Development)
 - Residence
 - Business
 - ➤ UNE
 - > Special

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience					
 Report month Total number of LSRs received, by interface, by CLEC: TAG EDI LENS Total number of errors by type, by CLEC: Fatal rejects Total fallout for manual processing Auto clarification CLEC caused system fallout Total number of errors by error code 	 Report month Total number of errors by type: ▶ BST system error 					
Retail Analog/Benchmark:						
CLEC Flow Through/benchmark comparison (Under	Development)					

Revision Date: 09/03/99 (tm)

ORDERING

Report/Measurement:

Percent Flow Through Service Requests (Detail)

Definition:

A detailed list by CLEC of the percentage of Local Service Requests (LSR) submitted electronically via the CLEC mechanized ordering process that flow through to SOCS without manual or human intervention.

Exclusions:

- Fatal Rejects
- **Auto Clarification**
- Manual Fallout
- **CLEC System Fallout**
- Supplements (subsequent versions) to cancel LSRs that are not LESOG eligible(Under development)

Business Rules:

The CLEC mechanized ordering process includes all LSRs, including supplements (subsequent versions) which are submitted through one of the three gateway interfaces (TAG, EDI, and LENS), and flow through to SOCS without manual intervention. These LSRs can be divided into two classes of service; Business and Residence, and three types of service; Resale, Unbundled Network Elements (UNE) and specials. The CLEC mechanized ordering process does not include LSRs, which are, submitted manually (e.g., fax, and courier), or are not designed to flow through, i.e., Manual Fallout.

Definitions:

Fatal Rejects: Errors that prevent an LSR, submitted by the CLEC, from being processed further. When an LSR is submitted by a CLEC, LEO will perform edit checks to ensure the data received is correctly formatted and complete. For example, if the PON field contains an invalid character, LEO will reject the LSR and the CLEC will receive a Fatal Reject.

Auto-Clarification: errors that occur due to invalid data within the LSR. LESOG will perform data validity checks to ensure the data within the LSR is correct and valid. For example, if the address on the LSR is not valid according to RSAG, the CLEC will receive an Auto-Clarification.

Manual Fallout: errors that occur by design. Certain LSRs are designed to fallout of the Mechanized Order Process due to their complexity. These LSRs are manually processed by the LCSC. When a CLEC submits an LSR, LESOG will determine if the LSR should be forwarded to LCSC for manual handling. Following are the categories for Manual Fallout:

- 1. Complex services*
- Expedites (requested by the CLEC)
- Special pricing plans
- 4. Denials-restore and conversion, or disconnect and conversion orders
- 5. Partial migrations
- 6. Class of service invalid in certain states with some types of service
- 7. New telephone number not yet posted to BOCRIS
- 8. Low volume such as activity type "T" (move)
- 9. Pending order review required
- 10. More than 25 business lines
- 11. Restore or suspend for UNE combos
- 12. Transfer of calls option for the CLEC's end users
- 13. CSR inaccuracies such as invalid or missing CSR data in CRIS
- *Attached is a list of services, including complex services, and whether LSRs issued for the services are eligible to flow through.

Total System Fallout: Errors that require manual review by the LCSC to determine if the error is caused by the CLEC, or is due to system functionality. If it is determined the error is caused by the CLEC, the LSR will be sent back to the CLEC as clarification. If it is determined the error is BST caused, the LCSC representative will correct the error.

ORDERING - (Percent Flow Through Service Requests (Detail) - Continued)

Calculation:

Percent Flow Through Service Requests = (Total number of valid service requests that flow-through to SOCS) / (Total number of valid service requests delivered to SOCS) X 100

Description:

Percent Flow Through = The total number of LSRs that flow through LESOG to SOCS / (the number of LSRs passed from LEO to LESOG) – Σ [(the number of LSRs that fall out for manual processing + the number of LSRs that are returned to the CLEC for clarification + the number of LSRs that contain errors made by CLECs) X 100.

Report Structure:

- Provides the flow through percentage for each CLEC (by alias designation) submitting LSRs through the CLEC mechanized ordering process. The report provides the following:
 - > CLEC (by alias designation)
 - Number of fatal rejects
 - Mechanized interface used
 - Total mechanized LSRs
 - Total manual fallout
 - Number of auto clarifications returned to CLEC
 - Number of validated LSRs
 - Number of BST caused fallout
 - Number of CLEC caused fallout
 - Number of Service Orders Issued
 - Base calculation
 - CLEC error excluded calculation

Level of Disaggregation:

- CLEC Specific (by alias designation to protect CLEC specific proprietary data)
- Geographic:
 - Region
- Product (Under development)
 - Residence
 - **Business**
 - **UNE**
 - Special

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience		
 Report month Total number of LSRs received, by interface, by CLEC TAG EDI LENS Total number of errors by type, by CLEC Fatal rejects Total fallout for manual processing Auto clarification CLEC errors Total number of errors by error code Retail Analog/Renchmark:	Report month Total number of errors by type: ➤ BST system error		

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

CLEC Flow Through/benchmark comparison (Under development)

Revision Date: 09/03/99 (tm)

ORDERING

Report/Measurement:					
Flow Through Error Analysis					
Definition:					
An analysis of each error type (by error code) that a	was experienced by the LSRs that did not flow through				
to SOCS.	vas experienced by the LSRs that did not flow through				
Exclusions:					
Each Error Analysis is error code specific; therefore	exclusions are not applicable				
Business Rules:					
to provisioning SOCS without manual intervention. service; Business and Residence, and two types of s	ervice: Recale and Unbundled Network Dis-				
(ONE). This ineasurement captures the total number	er of errors by type. The CLEC mechanized and and animal				
process does not include LSRS, which are, submitted	d manually (e.g., fax, and courier).				
Calculation:					
Σ Of errors by type.					
Report Structure:					
 Provides an analysis of each error type (by error code). The report is in descending order by count of each error code and provides the following: Error Type (by error code) Count of each error type Percent of each error type Cumulative percent Error Description CLEC Caused Count of each error code Percent of aggregate by CLEC caused count Percent of CLEC by CLEC caused count BST Caused Count of each error code Percent of aggregate by BST caused count Percent of BST by BST caused count Level of Disaggregation: 					
Region					
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience				
Report month	Report month				
Total number of LSRs received Total number of errors by type (by error code)					
• Total number of errors by type (by error code)					
CLEC caused error Retail Analog/Benchmark:					
Not Applicable					
Trot Applicable					

Revision Date: 09/03/99 (tm)

Attachment BellSouth Flow-through Analysis For CLECs LSRs placed via EDI or TAG

	BellSouth Service	Flow-through	Complex	Complex	Dogian	Con ordering this con-
	Offered to CLEC via	if no BST or	Service	Order	Design Service	Can ordering this service cause
	resale or UNE	CLEC Errors	(Yes/No)	(Yes/No)		fall out for a reason other than
		(Yes/No)		(1es/No)	(Yes/No)	errors or complex? If so, what reason?
1	Flat Rate/Residence	Yes	No	No	no	
2	Flat Rate/Business	Yes	No	No	no	
3	Pay Phone Provider	No	No	No	no	
4	Measured Rate/Res.	Yes	No	No	no	
5	Measured Rate/Bus.	Yes	No	No	no	
6	Area Plus	Yes	No	No	no	
7	Package/Complete	Yes	No	No	no	
	Choice and area plus					
8	Optional Calling Plan	Yes	No	No	no	
9	Ga. Community Calling	Yes	No	No	no	
10	Call Waiting Deluxe	Yes	No	No	no	
11	Call Waiting	Yes	No	No	no	
12	Caller ID	Yes	No	No	no	
13	Speed Calling	Yes	No	No	no	
14	3 Way Calling	Yes	No	No	no	
15	Call Forwarding- Variable	Yes	No	No	no	
16	Remote Access to CF	Yes	No			
17	Enhanced Caller ID	Yes		No	no	
18	Memory Call	Yes	No	No	no	
19	Memory Call Ans. Svc.	Yes	No	No	no	
20	MTS	Yes	No	No	no	
21	RCF		No	No	no	
22		Yes	No	No	no	
23	Ringmaster	Yes	No	No	no	
24	Call Tracing Call Block	Yes	No	No	no	
24 25		Yes	No	No	no	
23 26	Repeat Dialing Call Selector	Yes	No	No	no	
20 27		Yes	No	No	no	
	Call Return	Yes	No	No	no	
28	Preferred Call Forward	Yes	No	No	no	
29	Touchtone	Yes	No	No	no	
30	Visual Director	Yes	No	No	no	
31	INP (all types?)	Yes	UNE	No	no	
32	Unbundled Loop-	Yes	UNE	No	Yes-	
	Analog 2W, SL1, SL2			ļ	designed,	
					no-non-	
22	2				designed	
33	2 wire analog port	Yes	UNE	No	no	
34	Local Number Portability (always?)	Yes	UNE	No	no	
35	Accupulse	No	Yes	Yes	yes	See note at bottom of matrix.
36	Basic Rate ISDN	No	Yes	Yes	yes	LSR electronically submitted; no flow through

	BellSouth Service	Elan Almanala	T.C. 1			T
	Offered to CLEC via	Flow-through	Complex	Complex	Design	Can ordering this service cause
İ	resale or UNE	if no BST or	Service	Order	Service	fall out for a reason other than
	resale of UNE	CLEC Errors (Yes/No)	(Yes/No)	(Yes/No)	(Yes/No)	errors or complex? If so, what reason?
37	DID	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	* yes with OSS'99
38	Frame Relay	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
39	Megalink	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
40	Megalink-T1	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
		į			, 55	
41	Native Mode LAN	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
	Interconnection			100	703	
	(NMLI)					
42	Pathlink Primary Rate	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
	ISDN		1 3	105	yes	
43	Synchronet	No	Yes	Yes	yes	LSR electronically submitted; no
						flow through
44	PBX Trunks	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	LSR electronically submitted; no
					1	flow through
45	LightGate	No	Yes	Yes	yes	<u> </u>
46	Smartpath	No	Yes	Yes	yes	-1
47	Hunting	No	Yes	no	no	LSR electronically submitted; no
						flow through
48	CENTREX	No	Yes	Yes	no	
49	FLEXSERV	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
50	Multiserv	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
51	Off-Prem Stations	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
52	SmartRING	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
53	FX	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
54	Tie Lines	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	
55	WATS	No	Yes	Yes	yes	
56	4 wire analog voice	No	UNE	Yes	yes-	
	grade loop				designed,	
	1	Ì			no-non-	
					designed	
57	4 wire DS1 & PRI	No	UNE	Yes	yes	
	digital loop				,	
58	2 wire ISDN digital	No	UNE	Yes	yes	
	loop					
59	4 wire DS1 & PRI	No	UNE	Yes	yes	
	digital loop				•	
60	ADSL	No*	UNE	Yes	yes	* yes as of OSS'99?
61	HDSL	No	UNE	Yes	yes	
62	2 wire analog DID	No	UNE	Yes	Yes	
	trunk port					
63	2 wire ISDN digital line	No	UNE	Yes	yes	
C 4	side port					
64	4 wire ISDN DSI	No	UNE	Yes	yes	
(5	digital trunk ports					
65	UNE Combinations	y-loop+port	UNE	Yes	yes	
66	Directory Listings	No*	UNE	Yes	no	* yes as of OSS'99
	(simple)		1	1		

	BellSouth Service	Flow-through	Complex	Complex	Design	Can ordering this service cause
	Offered to CLEC via	if no BST or	Service	Order	Service	fall out for a reason other than
	resale or UNE	CLEC Errors	(Yes/No)	(Yes/No)	(Yes/No)	errors or complex? If so, what
		(Yes/No)	•			reason?
67	Directory Listings	No*	UNE	yes	no	* yes as of OSS'99, captions and
	(complex)					indentions
68	ESSX	No	Yes	Yes	no	

Note for last column: For all services that indicate 'No' for flow-through, the following reasons, in addition to errors or complex services, also prompt manual handling: Expedites from CLECs, special pricing plans, for denials – restore and conversion or disconnect and conversion both required, partial migrations (although conversions-as-is flow through), class of service invalid in certain states with some TOS – e.g. gov't, or cannot be changed when changing main TN on C activity, low volume – e.g. activity type T=move, pending order review required, more than 25 business lines, restore or suspend for UNE combos, transfer of calls option for CLEC end user – fixed with release 6.0, new TN not yet posted to BOCRIS. All but the last one are unique to the CLEC environment.

ORDERING

Report/Measurement:

Percent Rejected Service Requests

Definition:

Percent Rejected Service Request is the percent of total Local Service Requests (LSRs) received which are rejected due to error or omission. An LSR is considered valid when it is electronically submitted by the CLEC and passes LEO edit checks to insure the data received is correctly formatted and complete.

Exclusions:

Service Requests canceled by the CLEC prior to being rejected/clarified.

Business Rules:

Fully Mechanized: An LSR is considered "rejected" when it is submitted electronically but does not pass LEO edit checks in the ordering systems (EDI, TAG, LEO, LESOG) and is returned to the CLEC. There are two types of "Rejects" in the Mechanized category:

- A Fatal Reject occurs when a CLEC attempts to electronically submit an LSR but required fields
 are not populated correctly and the request is returned to the CLEC before it is considered an LSR.
 Fatal Rejects are included in the calculation for regional reports only.
- An Auto Clarification is a valid LSR, which is electronically submitted but rejected from LESOG because it does not pass further edit checks for order accuracy.

Partially Mechanized: A valid LSR, which is electronically submitted (via EDI or TAG), but cannot be processed electronically and "falls out" for manual handling. It is then put into "clarification" and (rejected) sent back to the CLEC.

Total Mechanized: Combination of Fully Mechanized and Partially Mechanized LSRs.

Non Mechanized: An LSR which is faxed or mailed to the LCSC for processing and is "clarified" (rejected) back to the CLEC by the BST service representative.

LNP: Under Development

Calculation:

Percent Rejected Service Requests = (Total Number of Rejected Service Requests) / (Total Number of Service Requests Received) X 100 during the month.

Report Structure:

- Fully Mechanized, Partially Mechanized, Total Mechanized, Non-Mechanized
- State and Region
- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

- Resale Residence
- Resale Business
- Resale Specials
- UNE
- UNE Loop with NP
- Other
- Trunks

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:
 Report Month Total number of LSRs Total number of Rejects Total Number of Errors State and Region Retail Analog/Benchmark:	 Report Month Total number of LSRs Total number of Errors Adjusted Error Volume State and Region

Benchmark is under development. Retail Analog also under development

Revision date: 09/13/99 (lg)

ORDERING

Report/Measurement:

Reject Interval

Definition:

Reject Interval is the average reject time from receipt of an LSR to the distribution of a Reject. An LSR is considered valid when it is electronically submitted by the CLEC and passes LEO edit checks to insure the data received is correctly formatted and complete.

Exclusions:

Service Requests canceled by CLEC prior to being rejected/clarified

Business Rules:

Fully Mechanized: The elapsed time from receipt of a valid LSR (date and time stamp in ED or TAG) until the LSR is rejected (date and time stamp of reject in LEO). Fatal Rejects and Auto Clarifications are considered in the Fully Mechanized category.

Partially Mechanized: The elapsed time from receipt of a valid LSR (date and time stamp in EDI or TAG) until it falls out for manual handling. The stop time on partially mechanized LSRs is when the LCSC Service Representative clarifies the LSR back to the CLEC via LEO.

Total Mechanized: Combination of Fully Mechanized and Partially Mechanized LSRs.

Non-Mechanized: The elapsed time from receipt of a valid LSR (date and time stamp from FAX stamp) until notice of the reject is returned to the CLEC via LON.

LNP: Under development.

Calculation:

Reject Interval = Σ [(Date and Time of Service Request Rejection) – (Date and Time of Service Request Receipt)] / (Number of Service Requests Rejected in Reporting Period)

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- Fully Mechanized, Partially Mechanized, Total Mechanized, Non-Mechanized, Trunks

Level of Disaggregation:

- Product Reporting Levels
 - > Interconnection Trunks
 - Resale Residence
 - ➤ Resale Business
 - ➤ Resale Design
 - UNE Design
 - UNE Non- Design
 - UNE Loop with and w/o NP
- Geographic Scope
 - > State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order
- Mechanized: 0-4 minutes, 4-8 minutes, 8-12 minutes, 12-60 minutes, 0-1 hour 1-8 hours, 8-24 hours,
 >24 hours.
- Non-mechanized: 0-1 hour, 1-4 hours, 4-8 hours, 8-12 hours, 12-16 hours, 16-20 hours, 20-24 hours >24 hours
- Average Interval in Days.
- Trunks:

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:
Report Month	Report Month
Reject Interval	Reject Interval
 Total Number of LSRs 	Total number of LSRs
 Total number of Errors 	Total number of Errors
State and Region	State and Region
Retail Analog/Benchmark:	
Benchmark is under development. Retail Analog	also under development

Revision date: 09/13/99 (lg)

ORDERING

Report/Measurement:

Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness

Definition:

Interval for Return of a Firm Order Confirmation (FOC Interval) is the average response time from receipt of valid LSR to distribution of a firm order confirmation.

Exclusions:

- Rejected LSRs
- Partially Mechanized or Non-Mechanized LSRs received and/or FOCd outside of normal business hours.

Business Rules:

- Mechanized The elapsed time from receipt of a valid electronically submitted LSR (date and time stamp in LENS, EDI, TAG) until the LSR is processed and appropriate service orders are generated in SOCS.
- Partially Mechanized The elapsed time from receipt of a valid electronically submitted LSR which
 falls out for manual handling by the LCSC personnel until appropriate service orders are issued by a BST
 service representative via Direct Order Entry (DOE) or Service Order Negotiation Generation System
 (SONGS) to SOCS.
- Total Mechanized Combination of Fully Mechanized and Partially Mechanized LSRs
- Non-Mechanized The elapsed time from receipt of a valid LSR (fax receive date and time stamp) until
 appropriate service orders are issued by BST service representative via Direct Order Entry (DOE) or
 Service Order Negotiation Generation System (SONGS) to SOCS.
- LNP: Under development.

Calculation:

Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness = Σ [(Date and Time of Firm Order Confirmation) – (Date and Time of Service Request Receipt)] / (Number of Service Requests Confirmed in Reporting Period)

Report Structure:

- Fully Mechanized, Partially Mechanized, Total Mechanized, Non-Mechanized
- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

- Product Reporting Levels
 - Interconnection Trunks
 - ➤ Resale Residence
 - ➤ Resale Business
 - Resale Design
 - UNE Design
 - UNE Non- Design
 - UNE Loop with and w/o NP
 - ➤ Trunks
- Geographic Scope
 - > State, Region and further geographic disaggregation (MSA) as required by State Commission Order
- Mechanized: 0-15 minutes, 15-30 minutes, 30-45 minutes, 45-60 minutes, 60-90 minutes, 90-120 minutes, 120-240 minutes, 4-8 hours, 8-12 hours, 12-16 hours, 16-20 hours, 20-24 hours, 24-48 hours, 48 hours.
- Non-mechanized: 0-4 hours, 4-8 hours, 8-12 hours, 12-16 hours, 16-20 hours, 20-24 hours, 24-48 hours, > 48 hours.
- Trunks: 0-5 days, 6-8 days, 9-11 days, 12-14 days, 15-17 days, 18-20 days, >20 days
- < 10 and > 10 Circuits / Lines
- Average Interval in Days.

ORDERING - (Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness - Continued)

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:		
Report MonthInterval for FOC	Report Month Interval for FOC		
 Total number of LSRs 	Total Number of LSRs		
State and Region	State and Region		
Retail Analog/Benchmark:			
Benchmark is under development. Retail Analog	also under development		

Revision date: 09/13/99 (lg)

ORDERING

Business Offices.

Report/Measurement:						
Speed of Answer in Ordering Center						
Definition:						
Measures the average time a customer is in queue						
Exclusions:						
None	None					
Business Rules:						
The clock stops when a BST service representative	re in the LCSC answers the call. The speed of answer elapsed time from the entry of a CLEC call into the					
Calculation:						
(Total time in seconds to reach the LCSC) / (Total	l Number of Calls) in the Reporting Period.					
Report Structure:						
 CLEC Aggregate BST Aggregate (Combination of Residence Service Center and Business Service Center data under development) 						
Level of Disaggregation:						
 CLEC Aggregate BST Aggregate (Combination of Residence Service Center and Business Service Center data under development) 						
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:					
 Mechanized tracking through LCSC Automatic Call Distributor 	Mechanized tracking through BST Retail center support systems					
Retail Analog/Benchmark:						
For CLEC, Speed of Answer in Ordering Center (L Business Offices	CSC) is comparable to Speed of Answer in BST					

Revision date: 09/13/99 (lg)

PROVISIONING

Report/Measurement:

Mean Held Order Interval & Distribution Intervals

Definition:

When delays occur in completing CLEC orders, the average period that CLEC orders are held for BST reasons, pending a delayed completion, should be no worse for the CLEC when compared to BST delayed orders.

Exclusions:

- Any order canceled by the CLEC will be excluded from this measurement.
- Order Activities of BST associated with internal or administrative use of local services.

Business Rules:

Mean Held Order Interval: This metric is computed at the close of each report period. The held order interval is established by first identifying all orders, at the close of the reporting interval, that both have not been reported as completed in SOCS and have passed the currently committed due date for the order. For each such order, the number of calendar days between the committed due date and the close of the reporting period is established and represents the held order interval for that particular order. The held order interval is accumulated by the standard groupings, unless otherwise noted, and the reason for the order being held. The total number of days accumulated in a category is then divided by the number of held orders within the same category to produce the mean held order interval.

CLEC Specific reporting is by type of held order (facilities, equipment, other), total number of orders held, and the total and average days.

Held Order Distribution Interval: This measure provides data to report total days held and identifies these in categories of >15 days and > 90 days. (orders counted in >90 days are also included in >15 days).

Calculation:

Mean Held Order Interval:

 Σ (Reporting Period Close Date – Committed Order Due Date) / (Number of Orders Pending and Past The Committed Due Date) for all orders pending and past the committed due date.

Held Order Distribution Interval:

(# of Orders Held for \geq 90 days) / (Total # of Orders Pending But Not Completed) X 100 (# of Orders Held for \geq 15 days) / (Total # of Orders Pending But Not Completed) X 100

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

- Product Reporting Levels
 - ➢ POTS Residence
 - ➤ POTS Business
 - > DESIGN
 - ➤ PBX
 - > CENTREX
 - > ISDN
 - > UNE 2 Wire Loop with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE 2 Wire Loop without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - > UNE Loop Other with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE Loop Other without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE Other (Design and Non-Design)
 - Switching (Under development)
 - Local Transport (Under development)
 - > Combos (Under development)
 - > NP (Under development as separate category)
 - Local Interconnection Trunks
- Geographic Scope
 - > State, Region, and further geographic disaggregation (MSA) as required by State Commission Order

PROVISIONING - (Mean Held Order Interval & Distribution Intervals - Continued)

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
 Report Month CLEC Order Number and PON (PON) Order Submission Date (TICKET_ID) Committed Due Date (DD) Service Type(CLASS_SVC_DESC) Hold Reason Total line/circuit count (under development) Geographic Scope 	 Report Month BST Order Number Order Submission Date Committed Due Date Service Type Hold Reason Geographic Scope
NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding header found in the raw data file.	
Retail Analog/Benchmark:	
CLEC Residence Resale / BST Residence Retail CLEC Business Resale / BST Business Retail CLEC Design / BST Design CLEC PBX, CENTREX, ISDN/ BST PBX, CENTR Interconnection Trunks-CLEC / Interconnection Tru UNEs-Retail Analog (under development at this time	nks –BST

Revision date: 06/24/99 (taf)

PROVISIONING

Report/Measurement:

Average Jeopardy Notice Interval & Percentage of Orders Given Jeopardy Notice

Definition:

When BST can determine in advance that a committed due date is in jeopardy, it will provide advance notice to the CLEC.

Exclusions:

- Any order canceled by the CLEC will be excluded from this measurement
- Orders held for CLEC end user reasons
- Orders submitted to BST through non-mechanized methods

Business Rules:

When BST can determine in advance that a committed due date is in jeopardy it will provide advance notice to the CLEC. The number of committed orders in a report period is the number of orders that have a due date in the reporting period.

Calculation:

Average Jeopardy Interval = Σ [(Date and Time of Scheduled Due Date on Service Order) - (Date and Time of Jeopardy Notice)]/[Number of Orders Notified of Jeopardy in Reporting Period).

Percent of Orders Given Jeopardy Notice = Σ [(Number of Orders Given Jeopardy Notices in Reporting Period) / (Number of Orders Confirmed (due) in Reporting Period)

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific and CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate (under development with estimated release date of 8/15/99 for June reporting)

Level of Disaggregation:

- Product Reporting Levels
 - POTS Residence
 - POTS Business
 - DESIGN
 - ▶ PBX
 - CENTREX
 - > ISDN
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE Loop Other with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - > UNE Loop Other without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE Other (Design and Non-Design)
 - Switching (Under development)
 - ➤ Local Transport (Under development)
 - Combos (Under development)
 - > NP (Under development as separate category)
 - Local Interconnection Trunks
 - Geographic Scope
 - > State, Region, and further geographic disaggregation (MSA) as required by State Commission Order

PROVISIONING -

(Average Jeopardy Notice Interval & Percentage of Orders Given Jeopardy Notice - Continued)

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience	
Report Month	Report Month	
CLEC Order Number and PON	CLEC Order Number and PON	
Date and Time Jeopardy Notice sent	Date and Time Jeopardy Notice sent	
Committed Due Date	Committed Due Date	
Service Type	Service Type	
NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding	NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding	
header found in the raw data file.	header found in the raw data file.	
Retail Analog/Benchmark:		
CLEC Residence Resale / BST Residence Retail		
CLEC Business Resale / BST Business Retail		
CLEC Design / BST Design		
CLEC PBX, CENTREX, ISDN/ BST PBX, CENTREX, ISDN		
Interconnection Trunks-CLEC / Interconnection Trunks -BST		
UNEs-Retail Analog (under development at this time)		

Revision date: 09/15/99 (taf)

PROVISIONING

Report/Measurement:

Percent Missed Installation Appointments

Definition:

"Percent missed installation appointments" monitors the reliability of BST commitments with respect to committed due dates to assure that CLECs can reliably quote expected due dates to their retail customer as compared to BST.

Exclusions:

- Canceled Service Orders
- Order Activities of BST or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services (Record Orders, Test Orders, etc.)
- Disconnect (D) & From (F) orders

Business Rules:

Percent Missed Installation Appointments is the percentage of total orders processed for which BST is unable to complete the service orders on the committed due dates. Missed Appointments caused by enduser reasons will be included and reported separately. A business day is any time period within the same date frame, which means there cannot be a cutoff time for commitments as certain types of orders are requested to be worked after standard business hours. Also, during Daylight Savings Time, field technicians are scheduled until 9PM in some areas and the customer is offered a greater range of intervals from which to select.

Calculation:

Percent Missed Installation Appointments = Σ (Number of Orders Not Complete by Committed Due Date in Reporting Period) / (Number of Orders Completed in Reporting Period) X 100

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate

Report explanation: The difference between End User MA and Total MA is the result of BST caused misses. Here, Total MA is the total % of orders missed either by BST or CLEC end user and End User MA represents the percentage of orders missed by the end user

PROVISIONING - (Percent Missed Installation Appointments - Continued)

Level of Disaggregation:

- Reported in categories of <10 line/circuits; > 10 line/circuits
- Dispatch / No Dispatch
- Product Reporting Levels
 - ➤ POTS Residence
 - POTS Business
 - DESIGN
 - ➤ PBX
 - **▶** CENTREX
 - > ISDN
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE 2 Wire Loop without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE Loop Other with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ► UNE Loop Other without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - > UNE Other (Design and Non-Design)
 - Switching (Under development)
 - Local Transport (Under development)
 - > Combos (Under development)
 - > NP (Under development as separate category)
 - > Local Interconnection Trunks
 - ➤ Geographic Scope
 - State, Region, and further geographic disaggregation (MSA) as required by State Commission Order

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
 Report Month CLEC Order Number and PON (PON) Committed Due Date (DD) Completion Date (CMPLTN DD) Status Type Status Notice Date Standard Order Activity Geographic Scope 	 Report Month BST Order Number Committed Due Date Completion Date Status Type Status Notice Date Standard Order Activity Geographic Scope
NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding header found in the raw data file.	

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

- CLEC Residence Resale / BST Residence Retail
- CLEC Business Resale / BST Business Retail
- CLEC Design / BST Design
- CLEC PBX, CENTREX, ISDN/ BST PBX, CENTREX, ISDN

Interconnection Trunks-CLEC / Interconnection Trunks -BST

UNEs-Retail Analog (under development at this time)

Revision date: 06/24/99 (taf)

PROVISIONING

Report/Measurement:

Average Completion Interval (OCI) & Order Completion Interval Distribution

Definition:

The "average completion interval" measure monitors the interval of time it takes BST to provide service for the CLEC or its' own customers. The "Order Completion Interval Distribution" provides the percentage of orders completed within certain time periods.

Exclusions:

- Canceled Service Orders
- Order Activities of BST or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services
- (Record Orders, Test Orders, etc.)
- D (Disconnect) and F (From) orders. (From is disconnect side of a move order when the customer moves to a new address).
- "L" Appointment coded orders (where the customer has requested a later than offered interval)

Business Rules:

The actual completion interval is determined for each order processed during the reporting period. The completion interval is the elapsed time from when the order is electronically entered into SOCS after the FOC on a CLEC order, or the date time stamp receipt into SOCS by BST on retail orders to the order completion date. The clock starts when a valid order number is assigned by SOCS and stops when the technician or system completes the order in SOCS. Elapsed time for each order is accumulated for each reporting dimension. The accumulated time for each reporting dimension is then divided by the associated total number of orders completed

Calculation:

Average Completion Interval:

 Σ [(Completion Date & Time) - (Order Issue Date & Time)] / Σ (Count of Orders Completed in Reporting Period)

Order Completion Interval Distribution:

Σ (Service Orders Completed in "X" days) / (Total Service Orders Completed in Reporting Period) X 100

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate

PROVISIONING -

(Average Completion Interval (OCI) & Order Completion Interval Distribution - Continued)

Level of Disaggregation:

- Dispatch/No Dispatch categories applicable to all levels except trunks.
- Residence & Business reported in day intervals = 0,1,2,3,4,5,5+
- UNE and Design reported in day intervals = 0-5, 5-10, 10-15, 15-20, 20-25, 25-30, 30+
- All Levels are reported <10 line/circuits; >10 line/circuits
- **Product Reporting Levels**
 - ➤ POTS Residence
 - POTS Business
 - DESIGN
 - ➤ PBX
 - CENTREX
 - ISDN
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE Loop Other with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE Loop Other without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE Other (Design and Non-Design)
 - Switching (Under development)
 - Local Transport (Under development)
 - Combos (Under development)
 - NP (Under development as separate category)
 - Local Interconnection Trunks
 - Geographic Scope
 - State, Region, and further geographic disaggregation (MSA) as required by State Commission Order

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
 Report Month CLEC Company Name Order Number (PON) Submission Date & Time (TICKET_ID) Completion Date (CMPLTN_DT) Service Type (CLASS_SVC_DESC) Geographic Scope 	 Report Month CLEC Order Number Order Submission Date & Time Order Completion Date & Time Service Type Geographic Scope
NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding header found in the raw data file.	
Retail Analog/Benchmark	• 100 - 100

CLEC Residence Resale / BST Residence Retail

CLEC Business Resale / BST Business Retail

CLEC Non-UNE Design / BST Design

CLEC PBX, CENTREX, ISDN/ BST PBX, CENTREX, ISDN

Interconnection Trunks-CLEC / Interconnection Trunks-BST

UNEs-Retail Analog (under development at this time)

Revision date: 09/08/99 (taf)

PROVISIONING

Report/Measurement:

Average Completion Notice Interval

Definition:

The Completion Notice Interval is the elapsed time between the BST reported completion of work and the issuance of a valid completion notice to the CLEC.

Exclusions:

- Non-mechanized Orders
- Cancelled Service Orders
- Order Activities of BST associated with internal or administrative use of local services
- D & F orders

Business Rules:

Measurement of interval of completion date and time by a field technician on dispatched orders, and 5PM on the due date for non-dispatched orders; to the release of a notice to the CLEC/BST of the completion status. On all orders (mechanized and non-mechanized) the field technician notifies the CLEC by telephone the work was complete and then he enters the work order completion information and completion time in his computer. This information switches through to the SOCS systems either completing the order or rejecting the order to the Work Management Center (WMC). If the completion is rejected, it is manually corrected and then completed by the WMC. The notice is returned on each individual order submitted and as the notice is sent electronically, it can only be switched to those orders that were submitted by the CLEC electronically.

Calculation:

 Σ (Date and Time of Notice of Completion) – (Date and Time of Work Completion) / (Number of Orders Completed in Reporting Period)

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate (in development-expected release date 08/15/99 reporting)

Level of Disaggregation:

- Reporting intervals in Hours: 0-1, 1-2, 2-4, 4-8, 8-12, 12-24, > 24, plus Overall Average Hour Interval
- Reported in categories of <10 line/circuits; > 10 line/circuits
- Product Reporting Levels
 - ➤ POTS Residence
 - ➤ POTS Business
 - > DESIGN
 - ➤ PBX
 - CENTREX
 - > ISDN
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE 2 Wire Loop without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE Loop Other with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE Loop Other without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE Other (Design and Non-Design)
 - > Switching (Under development)
 - Local Transport (Under development)
 - > Combos (Under development)
 - > NP (Under development as separate category)
 - Local Interconnection Trunks
 - Geographic Scope
 - State, Region, and further geographic disaggregation (MSA) as required by State Commission Order

PROVISIONING - (Average Completion Notice Interval - Continued)

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
 Report Month CLEC Order Number Work Completion Date Work Completion Time Completion Notice Availability Date Completion Notice Availability Time Service Type Activity Type Geographic Scope NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding header found in the raw data file. 	 Report Month Service Order Number Work Completion Date Work Completion Time Completion Notice Availability Date Completion Notice Availability Time Service Type Activity Type Geographic Scope NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding header found in the raw data file.
Retail Analog/Benchmark:	
CLEC Residence Resale / BST Residence Retail CLEC Business Resale / BST Business Retail CLEC Non-UNE Design / BST Design CLEC PBX, CENTREX, ISDN/ BST PBX, CENT Interconnection Trunks-CLEC / Interconnection TUNEs-Retail Analog (under development at this times)	runks-BST

Revision date: 09/15/99 (taf)

PROVISIONING

Report/Measurement:

Coordinated Customer Conversions

Definition:

This category measures the average time it takes BST to disconnect an unbundled loop from the BST switch and cross connect it to a CLEC's equipment. This measurement applies to service orders with and without NP, and where the CLEC has requested BST to provide a coordinated cutover.

Exclusions:

- Any order canceled by the CLEC will be excluded from this measurement.
- Delays due to CLEC following disconnection of the unbundled loop
- Unbundled Loops where there is no existing subscriber loop

Business Rules:

Where the service order includes NP, the interval includes the total time for the cutover including the translation time to place the line back in service on the ported line. The interval is calculated for the entire cutover time for the service order and then divided by items worked in that time to give the average per item interval for each service order.

Calculation:

 Σ [(Completion Date and Time for Cross Connection of an Unbundled Loop)- (Disconnection Date and Time of an Unbundled Loop)] / Total Number of Unbundled Loop Items for the reporting period.

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

- Reported in intervals <= 5 minutes; >5,<15 minutes; >15 minutes, plus Overall Average interval
- Product Reporting Levels
 - UNE Loops without NP
 - UNE Loops with NP
 - ➢ Geographic Scope
 - > State, Region, and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
Report Month	No BST Analog Exists
 CLEC Order Number 	
 Committed Due Date (DD) 	
 Service Type (CLASS SVC DESC) 	
Cutover Start Time	
 Cutover Completion time 	
 Portability start and completion times 	
(NP orders)	
 Total Items 	
NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding	
header found in the raw data file.	
Retail Analog/Benchmark:	

There is no retail analog for this measurement because it measures cutting loops to the CLEC. Benchmark under development.

Revision date: 09/09/99 (taf)

PROVISIONING

Report/Measurement:

% Provisioning Troubles within 30 days of Service Order Activity

Definition:

Percent Provisioning Troubles within 30 days of Installation measures the quality and accuracy of installation activities.

Exclusions:

- Canceled Service Orders
- Order Activities of BST or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services (R Orders, Test Orders, etc.)
- D & F orders

Business Rules:

Measures the quality and accuracy of completed orders. The first trouble report from a service order after completion is counted in this measure. Subsequent trouble reports are measured in Repeat Report Rate. Reports are calculated searching in the prior report period for completed service orders and following 30 days after completion for a trouble report.

D & F orders are excluded as there is no subsequent activity following a disconnect.

Calculation:

% Provisioning Troubles within 30 days of Service Order Activity = Σ (Trouble reports on all completed orders \leq 30 days following service order(s) completion) / (All Service Orders completed in the calendar month) X 100

Report Structure:

• CLEC Specific, CLEC Aggregate, BST Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

- Reported in categories of <10 line/circuits; > 10 line/circuits
- Dispatch / No Dispatch
- Product Reporting Levels
 - POTS Residence
 - ➤ POTS Business
 - DESIGN
 - ▶ PBX
 - CENTREX
 - > ISDN
 - ➤ UNE 2 Wire Loop with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE 2 Wire Loop without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - > UNE Loop Other with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE Loop Other without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE Other (Design and Non-Design)
 - Switching (Under development)
 - Local Transport (Under development)
 - Combos (Under development)
 - NP (Under development as separate category)
 - Local Interconnection Trunks
 - Geographic Scope
 - > State, Region, and further geographic disaggregation (MSA) as required by State Commission Order

PROVISIONING - (% Provisioning Troubles within 30 days of Service Order Activity - Continued)

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience	
Report Month	Report Month	
CLEC Order Number and PON	BST Order Number	
Order Submission Date(TICKET_ID)	Order Submission Date	
Order Submission Time (TICKET_ID)	Order Submission Time	
Status Type	Status Type	
Status Notice Date	Status Notice Date	
Standard Order Activity	Standard Order Activity	
Geographic Scope	Geographic Scope	
NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding header found in the raw data file.		
Retail Analog/Benchmark:		
CLEC Residence Resale / BST Residence Retail		
CLEC Business Resale / BST Business Retail		
CLEC Design / BST Design		
CLEC PBX, CENTREX, ISDN/ BST PBX, CENTREX, ISDN		
Interconnection Trunks-CLEC / Interconnection Trunks -BST		
UNEs-Retail Analog (Under Development at this time)		

Revision date: 09/09/99 (taf)

PROVISIONING

Report/Measurement:

Total Service Order Cycle Time (TSOCT) (under development 3Q99)

Definition:

This is a new measurement under development to measure the total service order cycle time from receipt of a valid service order request to the completion of the service order.

Exclusions:

- Canceled Service Orders
- Order Activities of BST or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services
- (Record Orders, Test Orders, etc.)
- D (Disconnect) and F (From) orders. (From is disconnect side of a move order when the customer moves to a new address).
- "L" Appointment coded orders (where the customer has requested a later than offered interval)
- Orders with CLEC/Subscriber caused delays or CLEC/Subscriber requested due date changes.

Business Rules:

The interval is determined for each order processed during the reporting period. This measurement combines two reports: FOC (Firm Order Confirmation) with Average Order Completion Interval. This interval starts with the receipt of a valid service order request and stops when the technician or system completes the order in SOCS. Elapsed time for each order is accumulated for each reporting dimension. The accumulated time for each reporting dimension is then divided by the associated total number of orders completed

Calculation:

Total Service Order Cycle Time (under development)

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

- ISDN Orders included in Non Design GA Only
- Dispatch/No Dispatch categories applicable to all levels except trunks.
- Intervals under development
- Product Reporting Levels
 - > Interconnection Trunks
 - POTS Residence
 - ➤ POTS Business
 - DESIGN
 - ▶ PBX
 - > CENTREX
 - ➤ ISDN
 - > UNE 2 Wire Loop with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - ➤ UNE 2 Wire Loop without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - > UNE Loop Other with NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - > UNE Loop Other without NP (Design and Non-Design)
 - UNE Other (Design and Non-Design)
 - Switching (Under development)
 - Local Transport (Under development)
 - Combos (Under development)
 - > NP (Under development as separate category)
 - Local Interconnection Trunks
- Geographic Scope
 - > State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order

PROVISIONING - (Total Service Order Cycle Time (TSOCT) - Continued)

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
 Report Month Interval for FOC CLEC Company Name Order Number (PON) Submission Date & Time (TICKET_ID) Completion Date (CMPLTN_DT) Service Type (CLASS_SVC_DESC) Geographic Scope NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding header found in the raw data file. 	 Report Month CLEC Order Number Order Submission Date & Time Order Completion Date & Time Service Type Geographic Scope -
Retail Analog/Benchmark	
Under development (BST retail analog available at t	his time would be Average Completion Interval)

Revision date: 09/08/99 (taf)

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR

Ren	ort/N	Measi	uren	ent:
110	OI W 1		W 1 V 11	

Missed Repair Appointments

Definition:

The percent of trouble reports not cleared by the committed date and time.

Exclusions:

- Trouble tickets canceled at the CLEC request.
- BST trouble reports associated with internal or administrative service.
- Customer Provided Equipment (CPE) troubles or CLEC Equipment Trouble.

Business Rules:

The negotiated commitment date and time is established when the repair report is received. The cleared time is the date and time that BST personnel clear the trouble and closes the trouble report in his Computer Access Terminal (CAT) or workstation. If this is after the Commitment time, the report is flagged as a "Missed Commitment" or a missed repair appointment. When the data for this measure is collected for BST and a CLEC, it can be used to compare the percentage of the time repair appointments are missed due to BST reasons. Note: Appointment intervals vary with force availability in the POTS environment. Specials and Trunk intervals are standard interval appointments of no greater than 24 hours.

Calculation:

Percentage of Missed Repair Appointments = Σ (Count of Customer Troubles Not Cleared by the Quoted Commitment Date and Time) / Σ (Total Trouble reports closed in Reporting Period) X 100

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

ISDN Troubles included in Non-Design - GA ONLY

- Product Reporting Levels
 - ➢ POTS Residence, Business
 - Design
 - > PBX, CENTREX and ISDN
 - ➤ UNE 2 Wire Loop (Design and Non Design)
 - UNE Loop Other (Design and Non Design)
 - ➤ UNE Other (Design and Non Design)
 - > Switching, Local Transport and Combos (under development)
 - Local Interconnection Trunks
- Dispatch/No Dispatch categories applicable to all product levels
- Geographic Scope
 - State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order (e.g. Metropolitan Service Area - MSA)

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
Report Month	Report Month
CLEC Company Name	BST Company Code
 Submission Date & Time (TICKET_ID) 	Submission Date & Time
Completion Date (CMPLTN_DT)	Completion Date
 Service Type (CLASS_SVC_DESC) 	Service Type
 Disposition and Cause (CAUSE_CD & CAUSE_DESC) 	Disposition and Cause (Non-Design / Non-Special Only)
 Geographic Scope 	Trouble Code (Design and Trunking Services)
	Geographic Scope
NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding	
header found in the raw data file.	

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR - (Missed Repair Appointments - Continued)

Retail Analog/Benchmark

- CLEC Residence-Resale / BST Residence-Retail
- CLEC Business-Resale / BST Business-Retail
- CLEC Design-Resale / BST Design-Retail
- CLEC PBX, Centrex, and ISDN Resale/ BST PBX, Centrex, and ISDN Retail
- CLEC Trunking-Resale / BST Trunking-Retail
- UNEs Retail Analog (under development at this time.)

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR

Report/Measurement:

Customer Trouble Report Rate

Definition:

Initial and repeated customer direct or referred troubles reported within a calendar month per 100 lines/circuits in service.

Exclusions:

- Trouble tickets canceled at the CLEC request.
- BST trouble reports associated with administrative service.
- Customer provided Equipment (CPE) troubles or CLEC equipment troubles.

Business Rules:

Customer Trouble Report Rate is computed by accumulating the number of maintenance initial and repeated trouble reports during the reporting period. The resulting number of trouble reports are divided by the total "number of service" lines, ports or combination of existing for the CLEC's and BST respectively at the end of the report month.

Calculation:

Customer Trouble Report Rate = (Count of Initial and Repeated Trouble Reports in the Current Period) / (Number of Service Access Lines in service at End of the Report Period) X 100

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

ISDN Troubles included in Non Design - GA Only

- Product Reporting Levels
 - POTS Residence and Business
 - Design
 - > PBX, CENTREX, and ISDN
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop (Design and Non Design)
 - ➤ UNE Loop Other (Design and Non Design)
 - UNE Other (Design and Non Design)
 - Switching, Local Transport, and Combos (under development)
 - ➤ Local Interconnection Trunks
- Dispatch/No Dispatch categories applicable to all product levels
- Geographic Scope
 - State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order (e.g. Metropolitan Service Area MSA)

Metropolitan Service Area - MSA)	
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
Report Month CLEC Company Name Ticket Submission Date & Time (TICKET_ID) Ticket Completion Date (CMPLTN_DT) Service Type (CLASS_SVC_DESC) Disposition and Cause (CAUSE_CD & CAUSE_DESC) # Service Access Lines in Service at the end of period Geographic Scope NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding header found in the raw data file.	 Data Retained Relating to BST Experience Report Month BST Company Code Ticket Submission Date & Time Ticket Completion Date Service Type Disposition and Cause (Non-Design / Non-Special Only) Trouble Code (Design and Trunking Services) # Service Access Lines in Service at the end of period Geographic Scope
	8 . F

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR - (Customer Trouble Report Rate - Continued)

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

- CLEC Residence-Resale / BST Residence Retail
- CLEC Business-Resale / BST Business-Retail
- CLEC Design-Resale / BST Design-Retail
- CLEC PBX, Centrex and ISDN Resale/ BST PBX, Centrex, and ISDN Retail
- CLEC Trunking-Resale / BST Trunking-Retail
- UNEs Retail Analog (under development at this time)

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR

Report/Measurement:

Maintenance Average Duration

Definition:

The Average duration of Customer Trouble Reports from the receipt of the Customer Trouble Report to the time the trouble report is cleared.

Exclusions:

- Trouble reports canceled at the CLEC request
- BST trouble reports associated with administrative service
- Customer Provided Equipment (CPE) troubles or CLEC Equipment Troubles.
- Trouble reports greater than 10 days

Business Rules:

For Average Duration the clock starts on the date and time of the receipt of a correct repair request. The clock stops on the date and time the service is restored (when the technician completes the trouble ticket on his/her CAT or work system).

Calculation:

Maintenance Average Duration = Σ (Date and Time of Service Restoration) – (Date and Time Trouble Ticket was Opened) / Σ (Total Closed Troubles in the reporting period)

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- BST Aggregate
- CLEC Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

ISDN Troubles included in Non Design - GA Only

- Product Reporting Levels
 - POTS– Residence and Business
 - ➤ Design
 - PBX, CENTREX, and ISDN
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop (Design Non Design)
 - UNE Loop Other (Design Non Design)
 - ➤ UNE Other (Design Non Design)
 - Switching, Local Transport and Combos (under development)
 - Local Interconnection Trunks
- Dispatch/No Dispatch categories applicable to all product levels
- Geographic Scope
 - State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order (e.g. Metropolitan Service Area – MSA)

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR - (Maintenance Average Duration - Continued)

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience	
 Report Month Total Tickets (LINE_NBR) CLEC Company Name Ticket Submission Date & Time (TIME_ID) Ticket Completion Date (CMPLTN_DT Service Type (CLASS_SVC_DESC) Disposition and Cause (CAUSE_CD & CAUSE_DESC) Geographic Scope NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding header found in the raw data file. 	 Report Month Total Tickets BST Company Code Ticket Submission Date Ticket submission Time Ticket completion Date Ticket Completion Time Total Duration Time Service Type Disposition and Cause (Non – Design / Non-Special Only) Trouble Code (Design and Trunking Services) 	
Retail Analog/Benchmark:	Geographic Scope	
CLEC Residence-Resale / BST Residence-Resale CLEC Business-Resale / BST Business-Retail CLEC Design-Resale / BST Design-Retail CLEC PBX, Centrex and ISDN Resale / BST PBX, Centrex and ISDN Retail CLEC Trunking-Resale /BST Trunking-Retail UNEs - Retail Analog (under development at this time)		

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR

Report/Measurement:

Percent Repeat Troubles within 30 Days

Definition:

Trouble reports on the same line/circuit as a previous trouble report received within 30 calendar days as a percent of total troubles reported.

Exclusions:

- Trouble Reports canceled at the CLEC request
- BST Trouble Reports associated with administrative service
- Customer Provided Equipment (CPE) Troubles or CLEC Equipment Troubles.

Business Rules:

Includes Customer trouble reports received within 30 days of an original Customer trouble report.

Calculation:

Percentage of Missed Repair Appointments = (Count of Customer Troubles where more than one trouble report was logged for the same service line within a continuous 30 days) / (Total Trouble Reports Closed in Reporting Period) X 100

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

ISDN Troubles included in Non Design - GA Only

- Product Reporting Levels
 - POTS Residence and Business
 - Design
 - > PBX, CENTREX and ISDN
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop (Design and Non Design)
 - ➤ UNE Loop Other (Design and Non Design)
 - ➤ UNE Other (Design Non Design)
 - Switching, Local Transport and Combos (under development)
 - Local Interconnection Trunks
- Dispatch/No Dispatch categories applicable to all product levels
- Geographic Scope
 - State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order (e.g. Metropolitan Service Area - MSA)

Page 39 of 72

Version 09/15/99

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
Report Month	Report Month
 Total Tickets (LINE_NBR) 	Total Tickets
 CLEC Company Name 	BST Company Code
 Ticket Submission Date & Time 	Ticket Submission Date
(TICKET_ID)	Ticket Submission Time
 Ticket Completion Date (CMPLTN_DT) 	Ticket Completion Date
 Total and Percent Repeat Trouble Reports 	Ticket Completion Time
within 30 Days (TOT_REPEAT)	Total and Percent Repeat Trouble Reports
Service Type	within 30 Days
Disposition and Cause (CAUSE_CD &	Service Type
CAUSE_DESC)	 Disposition and Cause (Non – Design/
Geographic Scope	Non-Special only)
NOMB C. I	Trouble Code (Design and
NOTE: Code parentheses is the corresponding	Trunking Services)
header format found in the raw data file.	Geographic Scope

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR - (Percent Repeat Troubles within 30 Days - Continued)

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

CLEC Residence-Resale / BST Residence-Retail

CLEC Business-Resale / BST Business-Retail

CLEC Design-Resale / BST Design-Retail

CLEC PBX, Centrex and ISDN Resale / BST PBX, Centrex and ISDN Retail

CLEC Trunking-Resale / BST Trunking-Retail

UNEs - Retail Analog (under development at this time)

MANTENANCE & REPAIR

Report/Measurement:

Out of Service (OOS) > 24 Hours

Definition:

For Out of Service Troubles (no dial tone, cannot be called or cannot call out) the percentage of troubles cleared in excess of 24 hours. (All design services are considered to be out of service).

Exclusions:

- Trouble Reports canceled at the CLEC request
- BST Trouble Reports associated with administrative service
- Customer Provided Equipment (CPE) Troubles or CLEC Equipment Troubles.

Business Rules:

Customer Trouble reports that are out of service and cleared in excess of 24 hours. The clock begins when the trouble report is created in LMOS and the trouble is counted if the time exceeds 24 hours.

Calculation:

Out of Service (OOS) > 24 hours = (Total Troubles OOS > 24 Hours) / Total OOS Troubles in Reporting Period) X 100

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- BST Aggregate
- CLEC Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

ISDN Troubles included in Non Design - GA Only

- Product Reporting Levels
 - > POTS Residence and Business
 - Design
 - PBX and CENTREX and ISDN
 - UNE 2 Wire Loop (Design and Non Design)
 - UNE Loop Other (Design and Non Design)
 - ➤ UNE Other (Design and Non Design)
 - Switching, Local Transport and Combos (under development)
 - > Local Interconnection Trunks
- Dispatch/No Dispatch categories applicable to all product levels
- Geographic Scope
 - > State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order (e.g. Metropolitan Service Area MSA)

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
Report Month	Report Month
Total Tickets	Total Tickets
CLEC Company Name	BST Company Code
Ticket Submission Date & Time	Ticket Submission Date
(TICKET_ID)	Ticket Submission time
Ticket Completion Date (CMPLTN_DT)	Ticket Completion Date
Percentage of Customer Troubles out of	Ticket Completion Time
Service > 24 Hours (OOS>24_FLAG)	Percent of Customer Troubles out of
Service type (CLASS_SVC_DESC)	Service > 24 Hours
 Disposition and Cause (CAUSE_CD & 	Service type
CAUSE-DESC)	 Disposition and Cause (Non – Design/
Geographic Scope	Non-Special only)
	Trouble Code (Design and
NOTE: Code in parentheses is the corresponding	Trunking Services)
header found in the raw data file.	Geographic Scope

MANTENANCE & REPAIR - (Out of Service (OOS) > 24 Hours - Continued)

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

CLEC Residence-Resale / BST Residence- Retail

CLEC Business-Resale / BST Business-Retail

CLEC Design-Resale / BST Design-Retail

CLEC PBX, Centrex and ISDN Resale / BST PBX, Centrex and ISDN Retail

CLEC Trunking-Resale /BST Trunking- Retail

UNEs Retail Analog (under development at this time.)

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR

Report/Measurement:		
OSS Interface Availability		
Definition:		
	onally available compared to scheduled availability. erface systems and for the legacy systems accessed by	
Exclusions:		
None		
Business Rules:		
This measure is designed to compare the OSS avai	lability versus scheduled availability of BST's legacy	
systems.		
Calculation:		
OSS Interface Availability = (Actual System Functional Availability) / (Actual planned System		
Availability) X 100		
Report Structure:		
CLEC Aggregate		
BST Aggregate		
BST/CLEC		
Level of Disaggregation:		
Region		
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience	
Availability of CLEC TAFI	Availability of BST TAFI	
Availability of LMOS HOST, MARCH	Availability of LMOS HOST, MARCH	
and SOCS	and SOCS	
 CRIS, PREDICTOR, LNP, and OSPCM 		
(under development at this time)		
Retail Analog/Benchmark:		
Parity by design; Retail Analog		

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR

Repoi	rt/Measur	ement:
-------	-----------	--------

OSS Response Interval and Percentages

Definition:

The response intervals are determined by subtracting the time a request is received on the BST side of the interface until the response is received from the legacy system. Percentages of requests falling into each interval category are reported, along with the actual number of requests falling into those categories.

Exclusions:

Queries received during scheduled system maintenance time.

Business Rules:

This measure is designed to monitor the time required for the CLEC and BST interface system to obtain from BST's legacy systems the information required to handle maintenance and repair functions. The clock starts on the date and time when the request is received and the clock stops when the response has been transmitted through that same point to the requester.

Calculation:

OSS Response Interval = (Query Response Date and Time for Category "X") - (Query Request Date and Time for Category "X") / (Number of Queries Submitted in the Reporting Period) where, "X" is 0-4, \geq 4 to 10, \geq 10, \geq 30 seconds.

Report Structure:

- CLEC
- BST Residence
- BST Business (BST Total is under development at this time) by interface for each legacy system and function as appropriate.

Level of Disaggregation:

Region

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
CLEC Transaction Intervals	BST Business and Residence transaction
	Intervals

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

Retail Analog

Audit Verification

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR

Report/Measurement:		
Average Answer Time – Repair Centers		
Definition:		
This measure demonstrates an average response time for the CLEC representative to contact a BST representative. The average time a CLEC Rep is in queue waiting for the LCSC or UNE Center Rep to answer.		
Exclusions:		
None		
Business Rules:		
This measure is designed to measure the time required for CLEC & BST from the time of the ACD choice to the time of being answered. The clock starts when the CLEC Rep makes a choice to be put in queue for the next repair attendant and the clock stops when the repair attendant answers the call.		
Level of Disaggregation:		
Region. CLEC/BST Service Centers and BST	Repair Centers are regional.	
Calculation:		
Average Answer Time for BST's Repair Centers = of entry into queue until ACD Selection) / (Total selection)	(Time BST Repair Attendant Answers Call) – (Time number of calls by reporting period)	
Report Structure:		
CLEC Aggregate		
BST Aggregate		
CLEC Aggregate		
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience	
CLEC Average Answer Time	BST Average Answer Time	
Retail Analog/Benchmark:	1	
Retail Analog		
Audit Verification		

BILLING

Report/Measurement:	
Invoice Accuracy	
Definition:	

This measure provides the percentage of accuracy of the billing invoices rendered to CLECs during the current month.

Exclusions:

 Adjustments not related to billing errors (e.g., credits for service outage, special promotion credits, adjustments to satisfy the customer)

Business Rules:

The accuracy of billing invoices delivered by BST to the CLEC must enable them to provide a degree of billing accuracy comparative to BST bills rendered to retail customers BST. CLECs request adjustments on bills determined to be incorrect. The BellSouth Billing verification process includes manually analyzing a sample of local bills from each bill period. The bill verification process draws from a mix of different customer billing options and types of service. An end-to-end auditing process is performed for new products and services. Internal measurements and controls are maintained on all billing processes.

Calculation:

Invoice Accuracy = (Total Billed Revenues during current month) – (Billing Related Adjustments during current month) / Total Billed Revenues during current month X 100

Report Structure:

- CLEC Specific
- CLEC Aggregate
- BST Aggregate

Level of Disaggregation:

- Product / Invoice Type
 - > Resale
 - > UNE
 - > Interconnection
- Geographic Scope
 - > Region

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:
Report Month	Report Month
 Invoice Type 	Retail Type
Total Billed Revenue	> CRIS
Billing Related Adjustments	➤ CABS
•	Total Billed Revenue
	Billing Related Adjustments
Retail Analog/Benchmark	
CLEC Invoice Accuracy is comparable to BST In	voice Accuracy

Revision date: 09/15/99 (lg)

BILLING

Report/Measurement:		
Mean Time to Deliver Invoices		
Definition:		
This measure provides the mean interval for billing	invoices	
Exclusions:		
Any invoices rejected due to formatting or content	errors.	
Business Rules:		
Measures the mean interval for timeliness of billing		
	ness days, and CABS-based invoices in calendar days.	
Calculation:		
Mean Time To Deliver Invoices = Σ [(Invoice T		
Cycle)] / (Count of Invoices Transmitted in Report	ing Period)	
Report Structure:		
CLEC Specific		
CLEC Aggregate		
BST Aggregate		
Level of Disaggregation:		
Product / Invoice Type		
> Resale		
> UNE		
> Interconnection		
Geographic Scope		
➤ Region		
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:	
Report Month	Report Month	
Invoice Type	Retail Type	
 Invoice Transmission Count 	> CRIS	
 Date of Scheduled Bill Close 	> CABS	
	Invoice Transmission Count	
	Date of Scheduled Bill Close	
Retail Analog/Benchmark:		
 CRIS-based invoices will be released for delivered 		
 CABS-based invoices will be released for delivered 		
CLEC Average Delivery Intervals for both CRIS and CABS Invoices are comparable to BST		
Average delivery time for both systems.		

Revision date: 09/15/99 (lg)

BILLING

Donové/Mossumoments		
Report/Measurement:		
Usage Data Delivery Accuracy		
Definition:		
This measurement captures the percentage of recor		
acceptable format to the appropriate Competitive L	ocal Exchange Carrier (CLEC). These percentages	
	ative measurement for BellSouth performance. This	
measurement captures Data Delivery Accuracy rath	ner than the accuracy of the individual usage	
recording.		
Exclusions:		
None		
Business Rules:		
The accuracy of the data delivery of usage records	delivered by BST to the CLEC must enable them to	
provide a degree of accuracy comparative to BST b	pills rendered to their retail customers. If errors are	
detected in the delivery process, they are investigat	ed, evaluated and documented. Errors are corrected	
and the data retransmitted to the CLEC.		
Calculations:		
Usage Data Delivery Accuracy = Σ [(Total number	er of usage data packs sent during current month) -	
(Total number of usage data packs requiring retransmission during current month)] / (Total number of		
usage data packs sent during current month) X 100		
Report Structure:		
CLEC Specific		
CLEC Aggregate		
BST Aggregate		
Level of Disaggregation:		
Geographic Scope		
Region		
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:	
Report Month	Report Month	
Record Type	Record Type	
> BellSouth Recorded	- Record Type	
> Demodum recorded		

Non BellSouth Recorded

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

Revision date: 09/15/99 (lg)

CLEC Usage Data Delivery Accuracy is comparable to BST Usage Data Delivery Accuracy

BILLING

Report/Measurement:

are reported on the same report.

Usage Data Delivery Completeness
Definition:
This measurement provides percentage of complete and accurately recorded usage data (usage recorded
by BellSouth and usage recorded by other companies and sent to BST for billing) that is processed and
transmitted to the CLEC within thirty (30) days of the message recording date. A parity measure is also
provided showing completeness of BST messages processed and transmitted via CMDS. BellSouth
delivers its own retail usage from recording location to billing location via CMDS as well as delivering

billing data to other companies. Timeliness, Completeness and Mean Time to Deliver Usage measures

Exclusions:

None

Business Rules:

The purpose of these measurements is to demonstrate the level of quality of usage data delivered to the appropriate CLEC. Method of delivery is at the option of the CLEC.

Calculation:

Usage Data Delivery Completeness = Σ (Total number of Recorded usage records delivered during the current month that are within thirty (30) days of the message recording date) / Σ(Total number of Recorded usage records delivered during the current month) X 100

Report Structure

- **CLEC Specific**
- **CLEC Aggregate**
- **BST Aggregate**

Level of Disaggregation:

- Geographic Scope
 - Region

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:
Report Month	Report Monthly
Record Type	Record Type
BellSouth Recorded	
Non BellSouth Recorded	
Retail Analog/Benchmark:	

CLEC Usage Delivery Completeness is comparable to BST Usage Delivery Completeness

Revision date: 09/15/99 (lg)

BILLING

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

Report/Measurement:		
Usage Data Delivery Timeliness		
Definition:		
This measurement provides a percentage of recorde	d usage data (usage recorded by BST and usage	
recorded by other companies and sent to BST for billing) that is delivered to the appropriate CLEC		
within six (6) calendar days from the receipt of the		
showing timeliness of BST messages processed and		
and Mean Time to Deliver Usage measures are repo	orted on the same report.	
Exclusions:		
None		
Business Rules:		
The purpose of this measurement is to demonstrate		
transmission of usage data delivered to the appropr		
transmitted or mailed to the CLEC data processing	center once daily. The Timeliness interval of usage	
recorded by other companies is measured from the		
distributes to the CLEC. Method of delivery is at the	e option of the CLEC.	
Calculation:		
Usage Data Delivery Timeliness = Σ (Total number of usage records sent within six (6) calendar days		
from initial recording/receipt) / Σ (Total number of usage records sent) X 100		
Report Structure:		
CLEC Aggregate		
CLEC Specific		
BST Aggregate		
Level of Disaggregation:		
Geographic Scope		
Region		
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:	
Report Month	Report Monthly	
Record Type	Record Type	
BellSouth Recorded	1.5	
➤ Non-BellSouth Recorded		

Revision date: 09/15/99 (lg)

CLEC Usage Data Delivery Timeliness is comparable to BST Usage Data Delivery Timeliness

BILLING

Report/Measurement:	
Mean Time to Deliver Usage	
Definition:	
This measurement provides the average time it takes to deliver Usage Records to a CLEC. A parity measure is also provided showing timeliness of BST messages processed and transmitted via CMDS. Timeliness, Completeness and Mean Time to Deliver Usage measures are reported on the same report.	
Exclusions:	
None	
Business Rules:	
The purpose of this measurement is to demonstrate the average number of days it takes BST to deliver Usage data to the appropriate CLEC. Usage data is mechanically transmitted or mailed to the CLEC data processing center once daily. Method of delivery is at the option of the CLEC. Calculation:	
Mean Time to Deliver Usage = Σ (Record volume X estimated number of days to deliver the Usage	
Record) / total record volume	
Report Structure:	
CLEC Aggregate CLEC Specifications	
CLEC Specific DET A serverate	
BST Aggregate BST Aggregate	
Level of Disaggregation:	
Geographic Scope Parism	
> Region Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience:	Dete Deteined Deletine A. DCT D. C
Report Month	Data Retained Relating to BST Performance:
Record Type	Report Monthly Record Trans
➤ BellSouth Recorded	Record Type
Non-BellSouth Recorded	
Retail Analog/Benchmark:	
Mean Time to Deliver Usage to CLEC is comparable to Mean Time to Deliver Usage to BST	
1220 to 2011 to Cape to Cape to Cape to Cape to the Ca	

Revision date: 09/15/99 (lg)

OPERATOR SERVICES AND DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

Report/Measurement:

Speed to Answer Performance/Average Speed to Answer - Toll

Definition:

Measurement of the average time in seconds calls wait before answered by a toll operator.

Exclusions:

Calls abandoned by customers are not reflected in the average speed to answer but are reflected in the conversion tables where the percent answered within "X" seconds is determined.

Business Rules:

The call waiting measurement scan starts when the customer enters the queue and ends when a BST representative answers the call. The average speed to answer is determined by measuring and accumulating the seconds of wait time from the entry of a customer into the BST call management system queue until the customer is transferred to a BST representative. No distinction is made between CLEC customers and BST customers.

Calculation:

The Average Speed to Answer for toll is calculated by using data from monthly system measurement reports taken from the centralized call routing switches. The "total call waiting seconds" is a subcomponent of this measure which BST systems calculate by monitoring the number of calls in queue throughout the day multiplied by the time (in seconds) between monitoring events. The "total calls served" is the other sub-component of this measure, which BST systems record as the total number of calls handled by Operator Services toll centers. Since calls abandoned are not reflected in the calculation, the percent answered within the required timeframe is determined by using conversion tables with input for the abandonment rate.

Report Structure:

Reported for the aggregate of BST and CLECs

State

Level of Disaggregation:

None

Data Retained (on Aggregate Basis)

For the items below, BST's Performance Measurement Analysis Platform (PMAP) receives a final computation; therefore, no raw data file is available in PMAP.

- Month
- Call Type (Toll)
- Average Speed of Answer

Retail Analog/Benchmark

Parity by Design

Revision Date: 06/29/99 (tg)

OPERATOR SERVICES AND DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

Report/Measurement:

Speed to Answer Performance/Percent Answered within "X" Seconds - Toll

Definition:

Measurement of the percent of toll calls that are answered in less than "X" seconds. The number of seconds represented by "X" is thirty, except where a different regulatory benchmark has been set against the Average Speed to Answer by a State Commission.

Exclusions:

Calls abandoned by customers are not reflected in the average speed to answer but are reflected in the conversion tables where the percent answered within "X" seconds is determined.

Business Rules:

The call waiting measurement scan starts when the customer enters the queue and ends when a BST representative answers the call. The average speed to answer is determined by measuring and accumulating the seconds of wait time from the entry of a customer into the BST call management system queue until the customer is transferred to a BST representative. No distinction is made between CLEC customers and BST customers.

Calculation:

The Percent Answered within "X" Seconds measurement for toll is derived by using the BellCore Statistical Answer Conversion Tables, to convert the Average Speed to Answer measure into a percent of calls answered within "X" seconds. The BellCore Conversion Tables are specific to the defined parameters of work time, number of operators, max queue size and call abandonment rates.

Report Structure:

Reported for the aggregate of BST and CLECs

State

Level of Disaggregation:

None

Data Retained (on Aggregate Basis)

For the items below, BST's Performance Measurement Analysis Platform (PMAP) receives a final computation; therefore, no raw data file is available in PMAP.

- Month
- Call Type (Toll)
- Average Speed of Answer

Retail Analog/Benchmark

Parity by Design

Revision Date: 06/29/99 (tg)

OPERATOR SERVICES AND DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

Report/Measurement:

Speed to Answer Performance/Average Speed to Answer - Directory Assistance (DA)

Definition:

Measurement of the average time in seconds calls wait before answer by a DA operator.

Exclusions:

Calls abandoned by customers are not reflected in the average speed to answer but are reflected in the conversion tables where the percent answered within "X" seconds is determined.

Business Rules:

The call waiting measurement scan starts when the customer enters the queue and ends when a BST representative answers the call. The average speed to answer is determined by measuring and accumulating the seconds of wait time from the entry of a customer into the BST call management system queue until the customer is transferred to a BST representative. No distinction is made between CLEC customers and BST customers.

Calculation:

The Average Speed to Answer for DA is calculated by using data from monthly system measurement reports taken from the centralized call routing switches. The "total call waiting seconds" is a subcomponent of this measure which BST systems calculate by monitoring the number of calls in queue throughout the day multiplied by the time (in seconds) between monitoring events. The "total calls served" is the other sub-component of this measure, which BST systems record as the total number of calls handled by Operator Services DA centers. Since calls abandoned are not reflected in the calculation, the percent answered within the required timeframe is determined by using conversion tables with input for the abandonment rate.

Report Structure:

Reported for the aggregate of BST and CLECs

State

Level of Disaggregation:

None

Data Retained (on Aggregate Basis)

For the items below, BST's Performance Measurement Analysis Platform (PMAP) receives a final computation; therefore, no raw data file is available in PMAP.

- Month
- Call Type (DA)
- Average Speed of Answer

Retail Analog/Benchmark

Parity by Design

Revision Date: 06/29/99 (tg)

OPERATOR SERVICES AND DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

Report/Measurement:

Speed to Answer Performance/Percent Answered within "X" Seconds - Directory Assistance (DA)

Definition:

Measurement of the percent of DA calls that are answered in less than "X" seconds. The number of seconds represented by "X" is twenty, except where a different regulatory benchmark has been set against the Average Speed to Answer by a State Commission.

Exclusions:

Calls abandoned by customers are not reflected in the average speed to answer but are reflected in the conversion tables where the percent answered within "X" seconds is determined.

Business Rules:

The call waiting measurement scan starts when the customer enters the queue and ends when a BST representative answers the call. The average speed to answer is determined by measuring and accumulating the seconds of wait time from the entry of a customer into the BST call management system queue until the customer is transferred to a BST representative. No distinction is made between CLEC customers and BST customers.

Calculation:

The Percent Answered within "X" Seconds measurement for DA is derived by using the BellCore Statistical Answer Conversion Tables, to convert the Average Speed to Answer measure into a percent of calls answered within "X" seconds. The BellCore Conversion Tables are specific to the defined parameters of work time, number of operators, max queue size and call abandonment rates.

Report Structure:

Reported for the aggregate of BST and CLECs

State

Level of Disaggregation:

None

Data Retained (on Aggregate Basis)

For the items below, BST's Performance Measurement Analysis Platform (PMAP) receives a final computation; therefore, no raw data file is available in PMAP.

- Month
- Call Type (DA)
- Average Speed of Answer

Retail Analog/Benchmark

Parity by Design

E911

Report/Measurement:

E911/Timeliness

Definition:

Measures the percentage of batch orders for E911 database updates (to CLEC resale and BST retail records) processed successfully within a 24-hour period.

Exclusions:

- Any resale order canceled by a CLEC
- Facilities-based CLEC orders

Business Rules:

The 24-hour processing period is calculated based on the date and time processing starts on the batch orders and the date and time processing stops on the batch orders. Mechanical processing starts when SCC (BST's E911 vendor) receives E911 files containing batch orders extracted from BST's Service Order Communication System (SOCS). Processing stops when SCC loads the individual records to the E911 database. No distinctions are made between CLEC resale records and BST retail records.

Calculation:

E911 Timeliness = Σ (Number of batch orders processed within 24 hours ÷ Total number of batch orders submitted) X 100

Report Structure:

Reported for the aggregate of CLEC resale updates and BST retail updates

- State
- Region

Levels of Disaggregation:

None

Data Retained

- Report month
- Aggregate data

Retail Analog/Benchmark

Parity by Design

E911

Report/Measurement:

E911/Accuracy

Definition:

Measures the individual E911 telephone number (TN) record updates (to CLEC resale and BST retail records) processed successfully for E911 with no errors.

Exclusions:

- Any resale order canceled by a CLEC
- Facilities-based CLEC orders

Business Rules:

Accuracy is based on the number of records processed without error at the conclusion of the processing cycle. Mechanical processing starts when SCC (BST's E911 vendor) receives E911 files containing telephone number (TN) records extracted from BST's Service Order Communication System (SOCS). No distinctions are made between CLEC resale records and BST retail records.

Calculation:

E911 Accuracy = Σ (Number of record individual updates processed with no errors \div Total number of individual record updates) X 100

Report Structure:

Reported for the aggregate of CLEC resale updates and BST retail updates

- State
- Region

Level of Disaggregation:

None

Data Retained

- Report month
- Aggregate data

Retail Analog/Benchmark

Parity by Design

E911

Report/Measurement:

E911/Mean Interval

Definition:

Measures the mean interval processing of E911 batch orders (to update CLEC resale and BST retail records).

Exclusions:

- Any resale order canceled by a CLEC
- Facilities-based CLEC orders

Business Rules:

The processing period is calculated based on the date and time processing starts on the batch orders and the date and time processing stops on the batch orders. Data is posted in 4-hour increments up to and beyond 24 hours. No distinctions are made between CLEC resale records and BST retail records.

Calculation:

E911 Mean Interval = Σ (Date and time of batch order completion – Date and time of batch order submission) ÷ (Number of batch orders completed)

Report Structure:

Reported for the aggregate of CLEC resale updates and BST retail updates

- State
- Region

Level of Disaggregation:

None

Data Retained (on Aggregate Basis)

- Report month
- Aggregate data

Retail Analog/Benchmark

Parity by Design

TRUNK GROUP PERFORMANCE

Report/Measurement:

Trunk Group Service Report

Definition:

A report of the percent blocking above the Measured Blocking Threshold (MBT) on all final trunk groups between CLEC Points of Termination and BST end offices or tandems.

Exclusions:

- Trunk groups for which valid traffic data is not available
- High use trunk groups

Business Rules:

Traffic trunking data measurements are validated and processed by the Total Network Data System/Trunking (TNDS/TK), a Telcordia (BellCore) supported application, on an hourly basis for Average Business Days (Monday through Friday). The traffic load sets, including offered load and observed blocking ratio (calls blocked divided by calls attempted), are averaged for a 20 day period, and the busy hour is selected. The busy hour average data for each trunk group is captured for reporting purposes. Although all trunk groups are available for reporting, the report highlight those trunk groups with blocking greater than the Measured Blocking Threshold (MBT) and the number of consecutive monthly reports that the trunk group blocking has exceeded the MBT. The MBT for CTTG is 2% and the MBT for all other trunk groups is 3%.

Calculation:

Measured blocking = (Total number of blocked calls) / (Total number of attempted calls) X 100

Report Structure:

- BST Aggregate
 - > CTTG
 - ➤ Local
- CLEC Aggregate
 - ➢ BST Administered CLEC Trunk
 - CLEC Administered CLEC Trunk
- CLEC Specific
 - ▶ BST Administered CLEC Trunk
 - CLEC Administered CLEC Trunk

Level of Disaggregation:

State

State	
Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience	Data Retained Relating to BST Experience
Report month	Report month
Total trunk groups	Total trunk groups
 Total trunk groups for which data is available 	Total trunk groups for which data is available
 Trunk groups with blocking greater than the MBT 	Trunk groups with blocking greater than the MBT
 Percent of trunk groups with blocking greater than the MBT 	Percent of trunk groups with blocking greater than the MBT
Retail Analog/Benchmark:	
CLEC Trunk Blockage/BST Trunk Blockage	

Revision Date: 09/15/99 (tm)

TRUNK GROUP PERFORMANCE

Report/	Measurement:
---------	--------------

Trunk Group Service Detail

Definition:

A detailed list of all final trunk groups between CLEC Points of Presence and BST end offices or tandems, and the actual blocking performance when the blocking exceeds the Measured Blocking Threshold (MBT) for the trunk groups.

Exclusions:

- Trunk groups for which valid traffic data is not available
- High use trunk groups

Business Rules:

Traffic trunking data measurements are validated and processed by the Total Network Data System/Trunking (TNDS/TK), a Telcordia (Bellcore) supported application, on an hourly basis for Average Business Days (Monday through Friday). The traffic load sets, including offered load and observed blocking ratio (calls blocked divided by calls attempted), are averaged for a 20 day period, and the busy hour is selected. The busy hour average data for each trunk group is captured for reporting purposes. Although all trunk groups are available for reporting, the report highlight those trunk groups with blocking greater than the Measured Blocking Threshold (MBT) and the number of consecutive monthly reports that the trunk group blocking has exceeded the MBT. The MBT for CTTG is 2% and the MBT for all other trunk groups is 3%.

Calculation:

Measured Blocking = (Total number of blocked calls) / (Total number of attempted calls) X 100

Report Structure:

- BST Specific
 - > Traffic Identity
 - > TGSN
 - > Tandem
 - > End Office
 - Description
 - Observed Blocking
 - Busy Hour
 - ➤ Number Trunks
 - Valid study days
 - Number reports
 - Remarks

- CLEC Specific
 - Traffic Identity
 - > TGSN
 - > Tandem
 - CLEC POT
 - Description
 - > Observed Blocking
 - ➤ Busy Hour
 - Number Trunks
 - Valid study days
 - Number reports
 - Remarks

Level of Disaggregation:

State

Data Retained Relating to CLEC Experience

- Report month
- Total trunk groups
- Total trunk groups for which data is available
- Trunk groups with blocking greater than the MBT
- Percent of trunk groups with blocking greater than the MBT
- Traffic identity, TGSN, end points, description, busy hour, valid study days, number reports

Data Retained Relating to BST Experience

- Report month
- Total trunk groups
- Total trunk groups for which data is available
- Trunk groups with blocking greater than the MBT
- Percent of trunk groups with blocking greater than the MBT
- Traffic identity, TGSN, end points, description, busy hour, valid study days, number reports

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

CLEC Trunk Blockage/BST Trunk Blockage

Revision Date: 09/15/99 (tm)

COLLOCATION

Report/Measurement:

Collocation/Average Response Time

Definition:

Measures the average time (counted in business days) from the receipt of a complete and accurate collocation application (including receipt of application fees) to the date BellSouth responds in writing.

Exclusions:

- Requests to augment previously completed arrangements
- Any application cancelled by the CLEC

Business Rules:

The clock starts on the date that BST receives a complete and accurate collocation application accompanied by the appropriate application fee. The clock stops on the date that BST returns a response. The clock will restart upon receipt of changes to the original application request.

Calculation:

Average Response Time = Σ (Request Response Date) – (Request Submission Date) / Count of Responses Returned within Reporting Period.

Report Structure:

- Individual CLEC (alias) aggregate
- Aggregate of all CLECs

Level of Disaggregation:

- State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order
- Virtual
- Physical

Data Retained:

- Report period
- Aggregate data

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

Under development

COLLOCATION

Report/Measurement:

Collocation/Average Arrangement Time

Definition:

Measures the average time (counted in business days) from the receipt of a complete and accurate Bona Fide firm order (including receipt of appropriate fee) to the date BST completes the collocation arrangement.

Exclusions:

- Any Bona Fide firm order cancelled by the CLEC
- Bona Fide firm orders to augment previously completed arrangements
- Time for BST to obtain permits
- Time during which the collocation contract is being negotiated

Business Rules:

The clock starts on the date that BST receives a complete and accurate Bona Fide firm order accompanied by the appropriate fee. The clock stops upon submission of the permit request and restarts upon receipt of the approved permit. Changes (affecting the provisioning interval or capital expenditures) that are submitted while provisioning is in progress may alter the completion date. The clock stops on the date that BST completes the collocation arrangement.

Calculation:

Average Arrangement Time = Σ (Date Collocation Arrangement is Complete) – (Date Order for Collocation Arrangement Submitted) / Total Number of Collocation Arrangements Completed during Reporting Period.

Report Structure:

- Individual CLEC (alias) aggregate
- Aggregate of all CLECs

Level of Disaggregation:

- State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order
- Virtual
- Physical

Data Retained:

- Report period
- Aggregate data

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

Under development

COLLOCATION

Report/Measurement:

Collocation/Percent of Due Dates Missed

Definition:

Measures the percent of missed due dates for collocation arrangements.

Exclusions:

- Any Bona Fide firm order cancelled by the CLEC
- Bona Fide firm orders to augment previously completed arrangements
- Time for BST to obtain permits
- Time during which the collocation contract is being negotiated

Business Rules:

The clock starts on the date that BST receives a complete and accurate Bona Fide firm order accompanied by the appropriate fee. The clock stops on the date that BST completes the collocation arrangement.

Calculation:

% of Due Dates Missed = Σ (Number of Orders not completed w/i ILEC Committed Due Date during Reporting Period) / Number of Orders Completed in Reporting Period) X 100

Report Structure:

- Individual CLEC (alias) aggregate
- Aggregate of all CLECs

Level of Disaggregation:

- State, Region and further geographic disaggregation as required by State Commission Order
- Virtual
- Physical

Data Retained:

- Report period
- Aggregate data

Retail Analog/Benchmark:

Under development

Appendix A: Reporting Scope*

and the second s	The second se
Standard Service Groupings	Pre-Order, Ordering Resale Residence Resale Business Resale Special Local Interconnection Trunks UNE UNE - Loops w/LNP Provisioning UNE Non-Design UNE Design UNE Loops w/LNP Local Interconnection Trunks Resale Residence Resale Business Resale Design BST Trunks
	 BST Trunks BST Residence Retail BST Business Retail Maintenance and Repair Local Interconnection Trunks UNE Non-Design UNE Design Resale Residence Resale Business BST Interconnection Trunks BST Residence Retail BST Business Retail Local Interconnection Trunk Group Blockage BST CTTG Trunk Groups CLEC Trunk Groups

Appendix A: Reporting Scope

Standard Service Order Activities These are the generic BST/CLEC service order activities which are included in the Pre-Ordering, Ordering, and Provisioning sections of this document. It is not meant to indicate specific reporting categories.	 New Service Installations Service Migrations Without Changes Service Migrations With Changes Move and Change Activities Service Disconnects (Unless noted otherwise)
Pre-Ordering Query Types: Maintenance Query Types:	 Address Telephone Number Appointment Scheduling Customer Service Record Feature Availability
Report Levels	 CLEC RESH CLEC MSA CLEC State CLEC Region Aggregate CLEC State Aggregate CLEC Region BST State BST Region

^{*} Scope is report, data source and system dependent, and, therefore, will differ with each report.

Appendix B: Glossary of Acronyms and Terms

A	ACD	Automatic Call Distributor - A service that provides status monitoring of agents in a call center and routes high volume incoming telephone calls to available agents while collecting management information on both callers and attendants.
	AGGREGATE	Sum total of all items in like category, e.g. CLEC aggregate equals the sum total of all CLECs' data for a given reporting level.
	ASR	Access Service Request - A request for access service terminating delivery of carrier traffic into a Local Exchange Carrier's network.
	ATLAS	Application for Telephone Number Load Administration System - The BellSouth Operations System used to administer the pool of available telephone numbers and to reserve selected numbers from the pool for use on pending service requests/service orders.
	ATLASTN	ATLAS software contract for Telephone Number
	AUTO CLARIFICATION	The number of LSRs that were electronically rejected from LESOG and electronically returned to the CLEC for correction.
В	BILLING	The process and functions by which billing data is collected and by which account information is processed in order to render accurate and timely billing.
:	BOCRIS	Business Office Customer Record Information System - A front-end presentation manager used by BellSouth organizations to access the CRIS database.
	BRC	Business Repair Center – The BellSouth Business Systems trouble receipt center which serves large business and CLEC customers.
	BST	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
С	CKTID	A unique identifier for elements combined in a service configuration
	CLEC	Competitive Local Exchange Carrier
	CMDS	Centralized Message Distribution System - BellCore administered national system used to transfer specially formatted messages among companies.
	COFFI	Central Office Feature File Interface - A BellSouth Operations System database which maintains Universal Service Order Code (USOC) information based on current tariffs.

С	COFIUSOC	COFFI software contract for feature/service information
		COTTT Software contract for readure/service information
	CRIS	Customer Record Information System - The BellSouth proprietary corporate database and billing system for non-access customers and services.
	CRSACCTS	CRIS software contract for CSR information
	CSR	Customer Service Record
	CTTG	Common Transport Trunk Group - Final trunk groups between BST & Independent end offices and the BST access tandems.
D	DESIGN	Design Service is defined as any Special or Plain Old Telephone Service Order which requires BellSouth Design Engineering Activities
	DISPOSITION & CAUSE	Types of trouble conditions, e.g. No Trouble Found, Central Office Equipment, Customer Premises Equipment, etc.
	DLETH	Display Lengthy Trouble History - A history report that gives all activity on a line record for trouble reports in LMOS
	DLR	Detail Line Record - All the basic information maintained on a line record in LMOS, e.g. name, address, facilities, features etc.
	DOE	Direct Order Entry System - An internal BellSouth service order entry system used by BellSouth Service Representatives to input business service orders in BellSouth format.
	DSAP	DOE (Direct Order Entry) Support Application - The BellSouth Operations System which assists a Service Representative or similar carrier agent in negotiating service provisioning commitments for non-designed services and UNEs.
<u></u>	DSAPDDI	DSAP software contract for schedule information
E	E911	Provides callers access to the applicable emergency services bureau by dialing a 3-digit universal telephone number.
	EDI	Electronic Data Interchange - The computer-to-computer exchange of inter and/or intra company business documents in a public standard format.
F	FATAL REJECT	The number of LSRs that were electronically rejected from LEO, which checks to see of the LSR has all the required fields correctly populated
	FLOW- THROUGH	In the context of this document, LSRs submitted electronically via the CLEC mechanized ordering process that flow through to the BST OSS without manual or human intervention.
	FOC	Firm Order Confirmation - A notification returned to the CLEC confirming that the LSR has been received and accepted, including the specified commitment date.

G		
Н	HAL	"Hands Off" Assignment Logic - Front end access and error resolution
		logic used in interfacing BellSouth Operations Systems such as ATLAS, BOCRIS, LMOS, PSIMS, RSAG and SOCS.
1	HALCRIS	HAL software contract for CSR information
1	ISDN	Integrated Services Digital Network
K		
L	LCSC	Local Carrier Service Center - The BellSouth center which is dedicated to handling CLEC LSRs, ASRs, and Preordering transactions along with associated expedite requests and escalations.
	LEGACY SYSTEM	Term used to refer to BellSouth Operations Support Systems (see OSS)
	LENS	Local Exchange Negotiation System - The BellSouth LAN/web server/OS application developed to provide both preordering and ordering electronic interface functions for CLECs.
	LEO	Local Exchange Ordering - A BellSouth system which accepts the output of EDI, applies edit and formatting checks, and reformats the Local Service Requests in BellSouth Service Order format.
	LESOG	Local Exchange Service Order Generator - A BellSouth system which accepts the service order output of LEO and enters the Service Order into the Service Order Control System using terminal emulation technology.
	LMOS	Loop Maintenance Operations System - A BellSouth Operations System that stores the assignment and selected account information for use by downstream OSS and BellSouth personnel during provisioning and maintenance activities.
	LMOS HOST	LMOS host computer
	LMOSupd	LMOS updates
	LNP	Local Number Portability - In the context of this document, the capability for a subscriber to retain his current telephone number as he transfers to a different local service provider.
	LOOPS	Transmission paths from the central office to the customer premises.
	LSR	Local Service Request – A request for local resale service or unbundled network elements from a CLEC.
M	MAINTENANCE & REPAIR	The process and function by which trouble reports are passed to BellSouth and by which the related service problems are resolved.
	MARCH	A BellSouth Operations System which accepts service orders, interprets the coding contained in the service order image, and constructs the specific switching system Recent Change command messages for input into end office switches.

N	NC	"No Circuits" - All circuits busy announcement
0	OASIS	Obtain Availability Services Information System - A BellSouth front-
		end processor, which acts as an interface between COFFI and RNS.
		This system takes the USOCs in COFFI and translates them to English for display in RNS.
İ		Tot display in KNS.
1	OASISBSN	OASIS software contract for feature/service
	OASISCAR	OASIS software contract for feature/service
j	OASISLPC	OASIS software contract for feature/service
İ	OASISMTN OASISNET	OASIS software contract for feature/service OASIS software contract for feature/service
	OASISOCP	OASIS software contract for feature/service OASIS software contract for feature/service
		Orions software confident for readile/service
	ORDERING	The process and functions by which resale services or unbundled
		network elements are ordered from BellSouth as well as the process by
		which an LSR or ASR is placed with BellSouth.
	OSPCM	Outside Plant Contract Management System - Provides Scheduling
		Information.
	000	
1	OSS	Operations Support System - A support system or database which is
i		used to mechanize the flow or performance of work. The term is used to
		refer to the overall system consisting of hardware complex, computer operating system(s), and application which is used to provide the
		support functions.
P	OUT OF SERVICE	Customer has no dial tone and cannot call out.
r	POTS	Plain Old Telephone Service
Ī	PREDICTOR	The BellSouth Operations system which is used to administer proactive
		maintenance and rehabilitation activities on outside plant facilities,
		provide access to selected work groups (e.g. RRC & BRC) to
		Mechanized Loop Testing and switching system I/O ports, and provide
		certain information regarding the attributes and capabilities of outside
		plant facilities.
	PREORDERING	The process and functions by which vital information is obtained,
		verified, or validated prior to placing a service request.
	DDOMEIONE	•
	PROVISIONING	The process and functions by which necessary work is performed to
		activate a service requested via an LSR or ASR and to initiate the proper billing and accounting functions.
		and address full of the first state of the
	PSIMS	Product/Service Inventory Management System - A BellSouth database
		Operations System which contains availability information on switching
		system features and capabilities and on BellSouth service availability.
ľ		This database is used to verify the availability of a feature or service in an NXX prior to making a commitment to the customer.
		a communent to the customer.
<u>_</u>	PSIMSORB	PSIMS software contract for feature/service

Q		
R	RNS	Regional Negotiation System - An internal BellSouth service order entry system used by BellSouth Consumer Services to input service orders in BellSouth format.
	RRC	Residence Repair Center - The BellSouth Consumer Services trouble receipt center which serves residential customers.
	RSAG	Regional Street Address Guide - The BellSouth database, which contains street addresses validated to be accurate with state and local governments.
	RSAGADDR	RSAG software contract for address search
	RSAGTN	RSAG software contract for telephone number search
S	SOCS	Service Order Control System - The BellSouth Operations System which routes service order images among BellSouth drop points and BellSouth Operations Systems during the service provisioning process.
	SOIR	Service Order Interface Record - any change effecting activity to a customer account by service order that impacts 911/E911.
Ť	TAFI	Trouble Analysis Facilitation Interface - The BellSouth Operations System that supports trouble receipt center personnel in taking and handling customer trouble reports.
	TAG	Telecommunications Access Gateway – TAG was designed to provide an electronic interface, or machine-to-machine interface for the bi-directional flow of information between BellSouth's OSSs and participating CLECs.
	TN	Telephone Number
	TOTAL MANUAL FALLOUT	The number of LSRs which are entered electronically but require manual entering into a service order generator.
U	UNE	Unbundled Network Element
V		
W	WTN	A unique identifier for elements combined in a service configuration
X		
Y		
Z		
Σ		Sum of:

Appendix C

BELLSOUTH'S AUDIT POLICY:

BellSouth currently provides many CLECs with audit rights as a part of their individual interconnection agreements. However, it is not reasonable for BellSouth to undergo an audit for every CLEC with which it has a contract. As of June, 1999, that would equate to over 732 audits per year and that number is continually growing. BellSouth has developed a proposed Audit Plan for use by the parties to an audit. If requested by a Public Service Commission, BellSouth will agree to undergo a comprehensive audit of the aggregate level reports for both BellSouth and the CLECs for each of the next five (5) years (1999 – 2005), to be conducted by an independent third party. The results of that audit will be made available to all the parties subject to proper safeguards to protect proprietary information. This aggregate level audit includes the following specifications:

- 1. The cost shall be borne 50% by BellSouth and 50% by the CLECs.
- 2. The independent third party auditor shall be selected with input from BellSouth, the PSC, if applicable, and the CLEC(s).
- 3. BellSouth, the PSC and the CLECs shall jointly determine the scope of the audit.

BellSouth reserves the right to make changes to this audit policy as growth and changes in the industry dictate.

·			

PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
BST's Existing Measurements	ICG Proposal (Based on Texas Measurements)	
I. RESALE POTS, RESALE SPECIALS AND UN		
A. Pre-Ordering/Ordering		
1. Average OSS Response Interval (Pre-Ordering)	Average Response Time for OSS Pre-Order Interfaces	
2. Percent Response received within "X" Seconds	2. Percent Response received within "X" Seconds	
3. LENS Average Response Time	3. EASE Average Response Time	
4. OSS Interface Availability	4. OSS Interface Availability	
5. Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness	5. % FOCs Received within "X" Hours	
6. FOC Average Interval (Days)	6. Average Time to Return FOC	
7. Average Completion Notice Interval (Hours)	7. % Mechanized Completions Returned within 1 Hour	
8. % Rejected Service Requests	8. Average Time to Return Mechanized Completions	
9. Reject Distribution Interval-Mechanized	9. % Rejects	
10. Reject Distribution Interval-Non Mechanized	10. % Mech. Rejects within 1 Hour of EDI/LASR	
11. Average Reject Interval-Mechanized	11. Mean Time to Return Mechanized Rejects	
12. Average Reject Interval-Non Mechanized	12. Mechanized Provisioning Accuracy	
13. % Flow-Through Service Requests	13. Order Process % Flow-Through	
B. Billing		
14. Invoice Accuracy	14. Billing Accuracy	
15. Mean Time to Deliver Invoices	15. % of Accurate and Complete Formatted Mech. Bills	
16. Usage Data Delivery Accuracy	16. % of Usage Records Transmitted Correctly	
17. Usage Data Delivery Timeliness	17. Billing Completeness	
18. Usage Record Completeness	18. Billing Timeliness (Wholesale Bill)	
19. Mean Time to Deliver Usage	19. Daily Usage Feed Timeliness	
13. Wedit Time to Deliver Cauge	20. Unbillable Usage	
C. Miscellaneous Administative	20. Chomadic Osage	
20. Speed of Answer in Ordering Center	21. (Local Svc. Ctr.) LSC Average Speed of Answer	
21. Average Answer Time – UNE Center	22. LSC Grade of Service (GOS)	
22. Average Answer Time – Resale Maint. Center	23. % Busy in the Local Service Center	
22. Trivingo / mover i me Tresare ivianic. Center	24. (Local Opns. Ctr.) LOC Average Speed of Answer	
	25. LOC Grade of Service (GOS)	
	26. % Busy in the LOC	
II. RESALE POTS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT		
A. Provisioning	COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY ILEC	
23. Average Order Completion Interval	27. Mean Installation Interval	
24. Order Completion Interval Distribution	28. % Installations completed within "X" Business Days	
25. Average Completion Notice Interval	29. % SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates	
26. Mean Held Order Interval	30. % Company Missed Due Dates due to lack of Facilities	
Held Order Interval Distribution		
27. Held for Facilities	31. Ave. Delay Days for Missed DD due to lack of Fac.32. Average Delay Days for SWBT Missed Due Dates	
28. Held for Equipment	32. Average Delay Days for SWB1 Missed Due Dates 33. % SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates > 30 Days	
29. Held for Other		
30. % of Orders in Jeopardy	34. # of Orders canceled after the DD caused by SWBT	
31. Average Jeopardy Notification Interval	35. % Trouble Reports within 10 Days (1-10) of Installation	
32. % Missed Installation Appointments – total	36. % No Access (Trouble Reports with No Access)	
33. % Missed Appointments caused by end-user		
34. % Provisioning Troubles within 4 days		

PERFORMANCE MEASURES			
BST's Existing Measurements	ICG Proposal (Based on Texas Measurements)		
B. Maintenance			
35. Customer Trouble Report Rate	37. Trouble Report Rate		
36. % Missed Repair Appointments	38. % Missed Repair Commitments		
37. Maintenance Average Duration	39. Receipt to Clear Duration		
38. % Out of Service (OOS) > 24 Hours	40. % Out of Service (OOS) < 24 Hours		
39. % Repeat Troubles within 30 Days	41. % Repeat Reports		
	42. % No Access (% of Trouble Reports with No Access)		
III. RESALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND A. Provisioning	PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY ILEC		
40. Average Order Completion Interval	43. Average Installation Interval		
41. Order Completion Interval Distribution	44. % Installations completed within "X" Business Days		
42. Average Completion Notice Interval	45. % SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates		
43. Mean Held Order Interval	46. % Trouble Reports within 30 Days (1-30) of Installation		
Held Order Interval Distribution	47. % Company Missed Due Dates due to lack of Facilities		
44. Held for Facilities	48. Delay Days for Missed DDs due to lack of Facilities		
45. Held for Equipment	49. Delay Days for SWBT Missed Due Dates		
46. Held for Other	50. % SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates > than 30 Days		
47. % of Orders in Jeopardy	51. # of Orders canceled after the DD caused by SWBT		
48. Average Jeopardy Notification Interval			
49. % Missed Installation Appointments – total			
50. % Missed Appointments caused by end-user			
51. % Provisioning Troubles within 4 days			
B. Maintenance			
52. Customer Trouble Report Rate	52. Mean Time to Restore		
53. % Missed Repair Appointments	53. % Repeat Reports		
54. Maintenance Average Duration	54. Failure Frequency		
55. % Out of Service (OOS) > 24 Hours			
56. % Repeat Troubles within 30 Days			
IV. UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS (UN	NEs)		
A. Provisioning			
57. Average Order Completion Interval	55. Average Installation Interval		
58. Order Completion Interval Distribution	56. % Installations completed within "X" Business Days		
59. Average Completion Notice Interval	57. Average Response Time for Loop Make-Up Information		
60. Mean Held Order Interval	58. % SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates		
Held Order Interval Distribution	59. % Trouble Reports within 30 Days (1-30) of Installation		
61. Held for Facilities	60. % Missed Due Dates due to lack of Facilities		
62. Held for Equipment	61. Ave. Delay Days for Missed DDs due to lack of Facilities		
63. Held for Other	62. Ave. Delay Days for SWBT Missed Due Dates		
64. % of Orders in Jeopardy	63. % SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates > than 30 Days		
65. Average Jeopardy Notification Interval	64. # of Orders canceled after the DD caused by SWBT		
66. % Missed Installation Appointments – total	65. Trouble Report Rate		
67. % Missed Appointments caused by end-user	66. % Missed Repair Commitments		
68. % Provisioning Troubles within 4 days	67. Mean Time to Restore		
69. Customer Trouble Report Rate	68. % Out of Service (OOS) < X Hours		
70. % Missed Repair Appointments	69. % Repeat Reports		
71. Maintenance Average Duration			
72. % Out of Service (OOS) > 24 Hours			
73. % Repeat Troubles within 30 Days			

PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
BST's Existing Measurements	ICG Proposal (Based on Texas Measurements)	
V. INTERCONNECTION TRUNKS		
74. Average Order Completion Interval	70. % Trunk Blockage	
75. Order Completion Interval Distribution	71. Common Transport Trunk Blockage	
76. % Missed Installation Appointments	72. Distribution of Common Transport Trunk Groups Exceeding 2%	
77. % Provisioning Troubles within 4 days	73. Percent Missed Due Dates	
78. % Missed Repair Appointments	74. Average Delay Days for Missed Due Dates	
79. Customer Trouble Report Rate	75. % SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates > 30 Days	
80. Maintenance Average Duration	76. Average Trunk Restoration Interval	
81. % Repeat Troubles within 30 Days	77. Average Trunk Restoration Interval for Service Affecting Trunk Groups	
82. % Out of Service (OOS) > 24 Hours	78. Average Interconnection Trunk Installation Interval	
Trunk Group Service Summary		
83. Local Trunk Groups > 3% Blocking		
84. Common Transport Trk. Grps > 2% Blocking		
85. Trunk Group Service Detail		
VI. DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE (DA) AND OPI	ERATOR SERVICES (OS)	
86. Directory Assistance Average Speed of Answer	79. Directory Assistance Grade of Service	
87. % Answered within "X" Seconds	80. Directory Assistance Average Speed of Answer	
88. Operator Services Average Speed of Answer	81. Operator Services Grade of Service	
89. % Answered within "X" Seconds	82. Operator Services Average Speed of Answer	
	83. % Calls Abandoned	
	84. % Calls Deflected	
	85. Average Work Time	
	86. Non-Call Busy Work Volumes	
VII. INTERIM NUMBER PORTABILITY (INP)		
90. Average Order Completion Interval	87. % Installation Completed within X (3,7,10) Bus. Days	
91. Order Completion Interval Distribution	88. Average INP Installation Interval	
92. % Missed Installation Appointments	89. % INP I-Reports within 30 Days	
T	90. % Missed Due Dates	
VII. LOCAL NUMBER PORTABILITY (LNP)		
93. Average Order Completion Interval	91. % LNP Due Dates within Industry Guidelines	
94. Order Completion Interval Distribution	92. % of time the old Service Provider releases Subscription	
F	prior to the expiration of the second 9 hour timer	
95. % Missed Installation Appointments	93. % of Customer account restructured prior to LNP due date	
	94. % FOCs received within "X" hours	
	95. Average Response Time for Non-mechanized Rejects	
	Returned with complete and accurate codes	
	96. % Premature Disconnects for LNP Orders	
	97. % of Time SWBT applies the 10-digit trigger prior to	
	the LNP Order Due Date	
	98. % LNP I-Reports in 10 days	
	99. Average Delay Days for SWBT Missed Due Dates	
	100. Average Time of Out of Service for LNP conversions	
	101. % Out of Service < 60 Minutes	
VIII. 911	1	
96. E911 Mean Interval and Interval Distribution	102. Average Time to Clear Errors	
97. % E911 Accuracy	103. % Accuracy for 911 database updates	
98. E911 Timeliness (% within 24 hours)	104. Average Time Required to Update 911 Database	
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1 singe innertedance to Openio 11. Demons	

IX. POLES, CONDUIT AND RIGHTS OF WAY	
	105. % of requests processed within 35 days
	106. Average Days required to Process a Request
X. COLLOCATION	
99. Average Response Time	107. % Missed Collocation Due Dates
100.Average Arrangement Time	108. Average Delay Days for SWBT Missed Due Dates
101. % of Due Dates Missed	109. % of Requests processed within the tariffed timelines
XI. DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE DATABASE	
	110. % of updates completed into the DA Database within 72 hours for facility based CLECs
	111. Average Update Interval for DA database for facility based CLECs
	112. % DA Database Accuracy for Manual Updates
	113. % of electronic updates that flow through the DSR without manual intervention.
XII. COORDINATED CONVERSIONS	
102. %Conversions ≤ 5 Minutes	114. % Pre-mature disconnects (Coordinated Cutovers)
103. %Conversions > 5 M inutes ≤ 15 Minutes	115. % SWBT caused delayed Coordinated Cutovers
104. %Conversions > 15 Minutes	116. % Missed mechanized INP conversions
105. Average Cutover Interval	
XIII. NXX	
	117. % NXXs loaded and tested prior to the LERG effective date.
	118. Average Delay Days for NXX loading and testing
	119. Mean Time to Repair
XIV. BONA FIDE REQUEST PROCESS (BFRs	
	120. % of Requests processed within 30 Business Days
	121. % Quotes Provided for Authorized BFRs within 45
	Business Days
Misc. Maintenance OSS	
106. OSS Interface Availability	
107. OSS Response Interval & Percentages	

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF GEORGIA	,
COUNTY OF FULTON	`

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the State and County aforesaid, personally came and appeared David A. Coon, Director-Performance Measurements for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., who, being by me first duly sworn deposed and said that:

He is appearing as a witness before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority in Docket No. 99-00377 on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., and if present before the Authority and duly sworn, his testimony would be set forth in the annexed testimony consisting of 8 pages and 8 exhibit(s).

David A. Coon

Sworn to and subscribed before me this <u>22nd</u> day of October, 1999

TERESA L. ROCKWELL

Notary Public, Gwinnett County, Georgia My Commission Expires October 28, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 10, 1999, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record, via the method indicated:

[] Hand[] Mail[] Facsimile[] Overnight	Gary Hotvedt, Esquire Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0500
Mail Facsimile Overnight	Henry Walker, Esquire Boult, Cummings, et al. 414 Union Ave., #1600 P. O. Box 198062 Nashville, TN 37219-8062



		DEOD 71
1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. CLASS AND AUTH.
2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ALPHONSO J. WARNER PA 4 07
3		BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
4		DOCKET NO. 99-00377
5		NOVEMBER 10, 1999
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH
8		TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("BELLSOUTH") AND YOUR
9		BUSINESS ADDRESS.
10		
11	A.	My name is Alphonso J. Varner. I am employed by BellSouth as Senior
12		Director for State Regulatory for the nine-state BellSouth region. My business
13		address is 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375.
14		
15	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
16		
17	A.	Yes. I filed direct testimony on October 29, 1999.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
20		
21	A.	My testimony rebuts portions of the direct testimony filed by ICG Telecom
22		Group, Inc. ("ICG") witnesses on October 29, 1999 and November 1, 1999.
23		
24	Issue .	1: For purposes of this agreement should dial-up calls to Internet Service
25	provid	ers ("ISPs") be treated as if they were local calls for the purposes of

1	recipr	ocal compensation?
2		
3	Q.	ON PAGE 5 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. STARKEY STATES, "BECAUSE
4		TERMINATION RATES MUST BE BASED UPON THEIR UNDERLYING
5		COSTS, BST SHOULD BE ECONOMICALLY INDIFFERENT AS TO
6		WHETHER IT ITSELF INCURS THE COST TO TERMINATE THE CALL
7		ON ITS OWN NETWORK OR WHETHER IT INCURS THAT COST
8		THROUGH A RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION RATE PAID TO ICG."
9		PLEASE RESPOND.
10		
11	A.	Mr. Starkey is improperly attempting to re-address Issue 1, which has already
12		been addressed by the parties in previous testimony and in the hearings on
13		November 2-3, 1999. BellSouth's entire testimony regarding Issue 1 of this
14		arbitration proceeding explains why reciprocal compensation is not applicable
15		to ISP-bound traffic. Furthermore, at the ITC^DeltaCom/ICG consolidated
16		hearings on this issue, I explained at length why BellSouth is not economically
17		indifferent as to who incurs the costs.
18		
19	Issue	7: Should ICG be compensated for end office, tandem, and transport
20	eleme	nts of termination, for purposes of reciprocal compensation, when ICG's
21	switch	serves a geographic area comparable to the area served by BellSouth's
22	tandei	n switch? If so, according to what schedule or at what rate?
23		
24	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ACTION THE AUTHORITY SHOULD TAKE
25		ON THE ISSUE OF THE APPROPRIATE RECIPROCAL

ı		COMPENSATION DUE ICG BASED ON ITS NETWORK DESIGN.
2		
3	A.	Consistent with FCC rules and industry standards, the Authority should
4		determine that ICG does not qualify for tandem switching or common transport
5		because its network design does not perform the functions of a tandem switch
6		as outlined by industry standards and by the FCC's rules.
7		
8		ICG is asking the Authority to compensate it for the cost of equipment it does
9		not own and for tandem switching functions it does not perform. The
10		Authority should reject this "money for nothing" proposal. If a call is not
11		handled by a switch on a tandem basis, it is not appropriate to pay reciprocal
12		compensation for the tandem switching function.
13		
14	Q.	ON WHAT BASIS DOES MR. STARKEY CLAIM THAT ICG IS
15		ENTITLED TO BE COMPENSATED AT THE TANDEM
16		INTERCONNECTION RATE FOR CALLS THAT BELLSOUTH
17		DELIVERS TO ITS SWITCH?
18		
19	A.	Beginning at page 9 of his testimony, Mr. Starkey claims ICG is entitled to the
20		tandem interconnection rate because ICG's switch serves a geographic area
21		comparable to the area served by BellSouth's tandem switches. Although he
22		says that serving the same geographic area is the only criteria for being eligible
23		for tandem switching, he says ICG's switch also performs tandem switching
24		functions. Mr. Starkey fails to recognize that tandem switching compensation
25		requires two criteria; the CLEC switch must serve the same geographic area

and it must "perform functions similar to those performed by an ILEC's tandem switch". Although Mr. Starkey claims ICG's switch performs tandem functions, I will discuss shortly why ICG's switch does not perform tandem functions as described in generally accepted industry standards. Upon inspection of the FCC's August 8, 1996 First Report and Order in CC Docket 96-98 (August 1996 Order), paragraph 1090 speaks directly to the application of Rule 51.711 as follows:

We find that the "additional costs" incurred by a LEC when transporting and terminating a call that originated on a competing carrier's network are likely to vary depending on whether tandem switching is involved. We, therefore, conclude that states may establish transport and termination rates in the arbitration process that vary according to whether the traffic is routed through a tandem switch or directly to the end-office switch. In such event, states shall also consider whether new technologies (e.g., fiber ring or wireless networks) perform functions similar to those performed by an incumbent LEC's tandem switch and thus, whether some or all calls terminating on the new entrant's network should be priced the same as the sum of transport and termination via the incumbent LEC's tandem switch. Where the interconnecting carrier's switch serves a geographic area comparable to that served by the incumbent LEC's tandem switch, the appropriate proxy for the interconnecting carrier's additional costs is the LEC tandem interconnection rate." Paragraph 1090 [Emphasis added].

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

		Taragraph 1000 identifies the two requirements that a CEEC must meet in
2		order to be compensated at the tandem interconnection rate: (1) ICG's network
3		must perform functions similar to those performed by BellSouth's tandem
4		switch; and (2) ICG's switch must serve a geographic area comparable to
5		BellSouth's. The fact is, ICG's switch may be capable of serving a geographic
6		area comparable to BellSouth's tandem switch; however, ICG does not
7		perform functions similar to those performed by BellSouth's tandem switch.
8		
9	Q.	WHAT IS LOCAL TANDEM INTERCONNECTION?
10		
11	A.	Interconnection at a local tandem permits a CLEC to terminate to a single
12		location all of its local traffic to end offices served by that tandem without the
13		CLEC's having to place individual facilities to each end office served by that
14		tandem. When the CLEC elects to interconnect at a tandem, transport and
15		termination costs associated with terminating a CLEC-originated call to a
16		BellSouth end user will apply. Such charges include: (1) tandem switching at
17		the tandem; (2) common transport between the tandem and end office; and (3)
18		end office switching. Obviously, if a CLEC elects to interconnect directly at a
19		BellSouth end office, tandem switching and common transport charges would
20		not be applicable.
21		
22	Q.	EXPLAIN, IN GENERAL TERMS, INTERCONNECTION AT AN END
23		OFFICE SWITCH.
24		
25	A.	Carriers interconnect through the use of trunks, which are telephone circuits

1		that connect to a switch at each end. The connection at each end office switch
2		is called a trunk termination. Examples of the use of trunk terminations are:
3		(1) those that connect BellSouth end office switches; (2) BellSouth end office
4		switches to a CLEC's switch; or (3) interconnection trunks between
5		BellSouth's tandem switches and a CLEC's switch. Conversely, a line side
6		termination is used to terminate such facilities as basic business and residence
7		service, most PBX trunks and unbundled network element loops. In simple
8		terms, trunks connect switches, tandem switches connect trunks to each other
9		and end office switches connect trunks to customer lines.
10		
11	Q.	YOU POINTED OUT EARLIER THAT PARAGRAPH 1090 REQUIRES A
12		CLEC TO PERFORM TANDEM FUNCTIONS IN ORDER TO BE
13		COMPENSATED AT THE TANDEM INTERCONNECTION RATE.
14		COULD YOU PROVIDE A MORE DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF
15		TANDEM SWITCH FUNCTIONALITIES AS SET FORTH BY THE FCC?
16		
17	A.	Yes. In its recently released Order No. FCC 99-238, the FCC's rules at
18		51.319(c)(2) state:
19		
20		Local Tandem Switching Capability. The tandem switching capability
21		network element is defined as:
22		(A) Trunk-connect facilities, which include, but are not limited to, the
23		connection between trunk termination at a cross connect panel and
24		switch trunk card;
25		(B) The basic switch trunk function of connecting trunks to trunks; and

1		(C) The functions that are centralized in tandem switches (as distinguished
2		from separate end office switches), including but not limited, to call
3		recording, the routing of calls to operator services, and signaling
4		conversion features.
5		
6	Q.	YOUR PREVIOUS ANSWER IDENTIFIES THE FUNCTIONS THAT THE
7		FCC STATES ARE PERFORMED BY A TANDEM SWITCH. ON PAGE
8		11 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. STARKEY STATES THAT ICG's
9		SWITCHING PLATFORM PERFORMS THE SAME FUNCTIONS AS AN
10		ILEC TANDEM SWITCH. DO YOU AGREE?
11		
12	A.	No. ICG's switch may be <u>capable</u> of performing such functions when
13		connected to end office switches, however, as outlined below, ICG's 5ESS
14		switch as shown in Mr. Starkey's Diagram 3 does not perform those functions
15		identified by the FCC's rule as tandem switching functions:
16		 ICG does not interconnect end offices or perform trunk-to-trunk switching
17		but rather performs line-to-trunk or trunk-to-line switching.
18		 ICG has only one switch, and it performs only end office switching
19		functions. It uses lines to connect its end users to its switch and it uses
20		trunks to connect with BellSouth. It does not switch BellSouth's traffic to
21		another ICG switch.
22		• Insofar as I am able to judge, based on the information provided in Mr.
23		Starkey's testimony, ICG's switch does not provide other centralization
24		functions, namely call recording, routing of calls to operator services and
25		signaling conversion for other switches, as BellSouth's tandems do and as

ı	requi	The reconstruction of the reconstruction $(c)(2)$.
2		
3	Q. YOU ST	ATED THAT ICG'S SWITCH DOES NOT INTERCONNECT END
4	OFFICE	S OR PERFORM TRUNK-TO-TRUNK SWITCHING. PLEASE
5	ELABO)	CATE.
6		
7	One of the	e primary distinguishing characteristics of a tandem switch as set
8	forth in t	ne FCC's rules quoted above is that a tandem switch interconnects end
9	offices.	CG does not interconnect end offices or perform trunk-to-trunk
10	switching	but rather performs line-to-trunk or trunk-to-line switching.
11		
12	ICG prov	ides a diagram attached to Mr. Starkey's testimony that explains their
13	current n	etwork design. The design clearly shows that each of ICG's
14	collocation	on arrangements serve only as an intermediate point in ICG's loop
15	plant. Wi	thout specific information from ICG to the contrary, the "piece of
16	equipmer	t" in ICG's collocation cage appears to be nothing more than a
17	Subscribe	r Loop Carrier which is part of loop technology and provides no
18	"switchin	g" functionality. ICG's switch is not providing a transport or tandem
19	function,	but is switching traffic through its end office for delivery of traffic
20	from that	switch to the called party's premises. No switching is performed in
21	these coll	ocation arrangements. These lines are simply long loops transported
22	to ICG's	switch; they are not trunks. Long loop facilities do not qualify as
23	facilities	over which local calls are transported and terminated as described by
24	the Act ar	d therefore are not eligible for reciprocal compensation.

25

BellSouth is proposing to pay reciprocal compensation to ICG on the same basis that BellSouth bills reciprocal compensation to ICG. As noted earlier, when a CLEC elects to interconnect directly its switch to a BellSouth end office via trunk facilities, BellSouth does not charge the CLEC for tandem switching. When such direct end office interconnection is made, BellSouth does not perform a tandem function to terminate calls from the CLEC's end users. Because there is no tandem function performed, there are no costs for tandem switching and common transport to be recovered. Mr. Starkey suggests that BellSouth should compensate ICG for transporting its traffic from the point of interconnection to each of the ICG collocation arrangements. Collocation arrangements, however, in this instance are not switching points or end offices. There are no trunks interconnecting ICG's switch with these collocation arrangements. Instead, these are simply end user customer lines transported from the customer to the ICG 5ESS switch. There is no similarity between this situation and direct connection of ICG's switch with a BellSouth end office. Q. NOW THAT YOU HAVE EXPLAINED THE FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN TANDEM SWITCHES AND THE METHOD BY WHICH ICG SERVES ITS CUSTOMERS, HOW IS THIS RELEVANT TO THIS ISSUE NO. 7? Reciprocal compensation was designed to compensate a carrier for the cost of A. transporting and terminating local calls when the originating carrier collects

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the revenue. ICG's collocation site is not a switching point because no switching is performed at that site. Therefore the lines that ICG carries from its collocation arrangements are not trunks from one end office to another, but simply part of the loops that terminate at the ICG 5ESS switch. Reciprocal compensation does not compensate a carrier for loop costs. Loop costs, which are non-traffic sensitive costs, are recovered in the rates charged by the LEC to its end user customers. In its August 1996 Order, the FCC clearly indicates what should be charged for terminating a call:

We find that, once a call has been delivered to the incumbent LEC end

We find that, once a call has been delivered to the incumbent LEC end office serving the called party, the 'additional cost' to the LEC of terminating a call that originated on a competing carrier's network primarily consists of the traffic-sensitive component of local switching. The network elements involved with the termination of traffic include the end-office switch and local loop. The costs of local loops and line ports associated with local switches do not vary in proportion to the number of calls terminated over these facilities. We conclude that such non-traffic sensitive costs should not be considered 'additional costs' when a LEC terminates a call that originated on the network of a competing carrier. First Report and Order ¶ 1057

As the FCC explains above, the loops that serve ICG's end user customers do not qualify as either transport or termination for the purpose of reciprocal compensation.

25 Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE WHERE BELLSOUTH SERVES

CUSTOMERS IN A SIMILAR MANNER TO ICG?

A.

Yes. As I explained above, ICG is doing nothing more than providing long loops from its end user customers to its end office switch by way of collocation arrangements. BellSouth often serves its end user customers via long loops as well. For long loop situations, BellSouth typically runs the loop from the customer's premises to a remote terminal in the field where it is placed on a digital loop carrier (DLC) with other loops and transported to the serving end office.

The ICG and BellSouth situations are analogous. ICG's collocation arrangements are simply gathering points for loops where they can be placed onto another loop technology, such as DLC, to be carried to the ICG 5ESS switch. This function is the same function performed by a remote terminal or other intermediate loop device such as a distribution interface in BellSouth's loop plant. ICG has simply chosen to locate this loop plant in a collocation space. Consistent with this understanding, for ICG's calls transported and terminated to a BellSouth end user customer, BellSouth receives the applicable reciprocal compensation rate to the serving end office. BellSouth does not charge additional reciprocal compensation beyond the end office simply because BellSouth has served its end user customer with a long loop. As explained previously, BellSouth receives compensation for its loop cost through monthly service rates paid by the end user customer. This same situation should hold true for ICG. BellSouth should not compensate ICG because ICG has elected to haul all of its customers' service via long loop

1		facilities to ICG's end office switch. ICG should recover its loop costs from its
2		end user customers just as BellSouth does. Again, as the FCC explained in ¶
3		1057, the FCC does not allow a carrier to be compensated for loop costs
4		through reciprocal compensation.
5		
6	Q.	HAVE ANY STATE COMMISSIONS IN BELLSOUTH'S REGION
7		PREVIOUSLY RULED ON THE ISSUE OF TANDEM SWITCHING
8		COMPENSATION WHEN TANDEM SWITCHING IS NOT PERFORMED?
9		
10	A.	Yes. The Florida Public Service Commission, in Order No. PSC-97-0297-
11		FOF-TP, Docket 962120-TP, dated March 14, 1997, concluded at pages 10-11:
12		"We find that the Act does not intend for carriers such as MCI to be
13		compensated for a function they do not perform. Even though MCI argues that
14		its network performs 'equivalent functionalities' as Sprint in terminating a call,
15		MCI has not proven that it actually deploys both tandem and end office
16		switches in its network. If these functions are not actually performed, then
17		there cannot be a cost and a charge associated with them. Upon consideration,
18		we therefore conclude that MCI is not entitled to compensation for transport
19		and tandem switching unless it actually performs each function." Similarly,
20		Florida Order No. PSC-96-1532-FOF-TP, Docket No. 960838-TP, dated
21		December 16, 1996, states at page 4: "The evidence in the record does not
22		support MFS' position that its switch provides the transport element; and the
23		Act does not contemplate that the compensation for transporting and
24		terminating local traffic should be symmetrical when one party does not
25		actually use the network facility for which it seeks compensation.

1		Accordingly, we hold that MFS should not charge Sprint for transport because
2		MFS does not actually perform this function." Reinstatement of the FCC's
3		rules does not alter the correctness of the Florida Commission's conclusions.
4		The Authority should reach a similar conclusion in this proceeding.
5		
6		In the ICG arbitration case in North Carolina (Docket No. P-582, Sub 6), the
7		Recommended Arbitration Order dated November 4, 1999 states that
8		BellSouth should be required to pay ICG for tandem switching as requested by
9		ICG, when ICG's switch serves a geographic area comparable to the area
10		served by BellSouth's tandem switch; this recommendation is pending a reply
11		comment phase and final decision. In addition, the Alabama Public Service
12		Commission voted on this issue on November 1, 1999, and appears to have
13		adopted ICG's position; however, the written order has not yet been issued
14		(Docket No. 27069).
15		
16	Q.	DID THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ADOPT
17		BELLSOUTH'S THEORY ON THIS ISSUE?
18		
19	A.	Yes. In its Decision No. 99-09-069, dated September 16, 1999, the Public
20		Utilities Commission of California determined in an arbitration proceeding
21		between MFS/WorldCom and Pacific Bell (Application 99-03-047) that "a
22		party is entitled to tandem and common transport compensation only when the
23		party actually provides a tandem or common transport function." (Page 16)
24		The California Commission further found unpersuasive MFS/WorldCom's
25		argument that its network serves a geographic area comparable in size to the

that served by Pacific Bell's tandem switch. 1 2 Q. 3 ON PAGE 13, MR. STARKEY STATES THAT THE AUTHORITY HAS ADOPTED A PROXY PRICE OF \$.0050 FOR TRANSPORT AND 4 5 TERMINATION OF LOCAL TRAFFIC THROUGH THE BELLSOUTH TANDEM. PLEASE COMMENT. 6 7 8 A. Apparently the proxy price that Mr. Starkey mentions is the rate for Total 9 Interconnection Charge per minute as approved in the AT&T and MCI arbitration cases, Docket No. 96-01152 on November 25, 1996. This rate is 10 11 the sum of the proxy rates for DS1 per minute of use, tandem switching, common transport per mile, common transport - facilities termination, and end 12 office switching. The rate of \$.0050 is not appropriate, because it is not cost 13 14 based, and because it includes all of the elements listed, regardless of whether all functions are being performed. BellSouth's position is that, when the 15 tandem switching function is actually performed, the tandem switching rate to 16 17 be approved in Docket 97-01262 should be applied, retroactive to the effective 18 date of the new interconnection agreement. 19 Issue 3: Where, how and at what rate should BellSouth make available packet-20 switching capabilities as UNEs throughout the term of the contract, including: (a) 21 user-to-network interface ("UNI") at 56 kbps, 64 kbps, 128kbps, 256 kbps, 384 kbps, 22 1.544 Mbps, 44.736 Mbps; (b) network-to-network interface ("NNI") at 56 kbps, 64 23 kbps, 1.544 Mbps, 44.736 Mbps; and (c) data link control identifiers ("DLCIs"), at 24 committed information rates ("CIRs") of 0 kbps, 8 kbps, 9.6 kbps, 16 kbps, 19.2 25

kbps, 28 kbps, 32 kbps, 56 kbps, 64 kbps, 128 kbps, 192 kbps, 256 kbps, 320 kbps, 1 2 384 kbps, 448 kbps, 512 kbps, 576 kbps, 640 kbps, 704 kbps, 768 kbps, 832 kbps, 896 kbps, 960 kbps, 1.024 Mbps, 1.088 Mbps, 1.152 Mbps, 1.216 Mbps, 1.280 Mbps, 1.344 Mbps, 1.408 Mbps, 1.472 Mbps, 1.536 Mbps, 1.544 Mbps, [sic] Mbps, 3.088 Mbps, 4.632 Mbps, 6.176 Mbps, 7.720 Mbps, 9.264 Mbps, 10.808 Mbps, 12.350 Mbps, 13.896 Mbps, 15.440 Mbps, 16.984 Mbps, 18.528 Mbps, 20.072 Mbps? If so, 7 what are the proposed rates? 8 Q. AT PAGE 7 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. HOLDRIDGE ACKNOWLEDGES 9 THAT IN ITS SEPTEMBER 15, 1999 DECISION, THE FCC DECLINED 10 11 TO UNBUNDLE PACKET SWITCHING. HE THEN ATTEMPTS TO CONVINCE THE AUTHORITY THAT IT SHOULD STILL REQUIRE 12 13 SUCH UNBUNDLING. PLEASE COMMENT. 14 Although Mr. Holdridge appears to acknowledge that the FCC's decision does 15 A. not require ILECs to unbundle packet switching, Mr. Holdridge suggests that 16 17 the Authority can, nonetheless, direct BellSouth to offer packet switching 18 elements at TELRIC rates. Mr. Holdridge is incorrect. Any unbundling by the Authority cannot conflict with any decision by the FCC. The FCC has 19 20 specifically exempted packet switching as requested by ICG from unbundling 21 requirements. A decision by the Authority to require such unbundling would 22 conflict with the FCC's rules on this subject. 23 24 Q. DID THE FCC ADDRESS THIS ISSUE IN ITS RECENT THIRD REPORT

AND ORDER AND FOURTH FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED

1		RULEMAKING?
2		
3	A.	Yes. The FCC stated at Paragraph 306 of the Order that "[w]e decline at this
4		time to unbundle the packet switching functionality, except in limited
5		circumstances." Third Report and Order, ¶ 306. The limited circumstance to
6		which the FCC refers relates to DSLAMs. Third Report and Order, ¶ 313.
7		The FCC also specifically stated that "[w]e further decline to unbundle specific
8		packet switching technologies incumbent LECs may have deployed in their
9		networks[w]e reject e.spire/Intermedia's request for a packet switching or
10		frame relay unbundled network element." Third Report and Order, ¶¶ 311-
11		312.
12		
13		Consequently, there is no general obligation to unbundle packet switching.
14		DSLAMs may be required to be unbundled in certain circumstances. For
15		DSLAMs to be unbundled there are criteria that must be met. One of those is
16		that there are no spare copper loops capable of supporting the xDSL services
17		the requesting carrier seeks to offer. Consequently, whether unbundling of the
18		DSLAM component of packet switching is required is limited to those specific
19		cases where there is no alternative means available to ICG to access the
20		customer. This situation occurs in few, if any, circumstances in BellSouth's
21		network.
22		
23	Q.	DID THE FCC ADDRESS WHETHER THE STATE COMMISSIONS
24		SHOULD BE INVOLVED IN THIS ISSUE?

1 A. Yes. The FCC stated that "e.spire/Intermedia are free to demonstrate to a state 2 commission that lack of unbundled access to the incumbent's frame relay 3 network element impairs their ability to provide the services they seek[s] to offer." Third Report and Order, ¶ 312. The FCC went on to state, however, 4 5 that the state commission must look at this issue "consistent with the principles 6 set forth in this order." *Third Report and Order*, ¶ 312. 7 DID THE FCC ADDRESS THE NATURE OF THE ADVANCED 8 Q. SERVICES MARKET? 9 10 11 A. Yes. The FCC stated that "[c]ompetitive LECs and cable companies appear to 12 be leading the incumbent LECs in their deployment of advanced services." 13 Third Report and Order, at ¶ 307. The FCC also recognized "that equipment 14 needed to provide advanced services, such as DSLAMs and packet switches, 15 are available on the open market at comparable prices to incumbents and 16 requesting carriers alike." Third Report and Order, at ¶ 308. Finally, the FCC stated that the "record demonstrates that competitors are actively deploying 17 18 facilities used to provide advanced services to serve certain segments of the 19 market – namely, medium and large business – and hence they cannot be said 20 to be impaired in their ability to offer service, at least to these segments 21 without access to the incumbent's facilities." Third Report and Order, ¶ 306. 22 23 Q. WHY DO ADVANCED SERVICES FAIL TO MEET THE NECESSARY AND IMPAIR STANDARDS? 24

1 A. Advanced services represent a new market where ILECs such as BellSouth 2 have no competitive advantage. As stated in the FCC's Third Report and 3 Order, ¶ 307, "Both the record in this proceeding, and our findings in the 706 4 Report, establish that advanced services providers are actively deploying 5 facilities to offer advanced services such as xDSL across the country. 6 Competitive LECs and cable companies appear to be leading the incumbent 7 LECs in their deployment of advanced services." ILECs are not the 8 predominant providers in the advanced services market. Both cable and 9 wireless providers are ahead of ILECs in rolling out advanced services and market facts referred to in BellSouth's Comments filed in the FCC's 319 10 proceeding demonstrate that advanced services may be provided equally well, 11 12 or better, over other networks. The FCC in its Advanced Services Report suggested that cable providers are farthest ahead, followed by wireless 13 14 providers, then CLECs. 15 16 Further, any requirement to unbundle advanced services would apply to BellSouth's investment dollars and not to existing networks and equipment. If 17 BellSouth invests in advanced services only to have to unbundle that 18 investment at cost-based prices, such action destroys the incentive to further 19 20 invest in innovative advanced services. On the other hand, it discourages other 21 potential providers of such services from investing in networks and equipment 22 because they can get a free-ride on the ILEC. This surely is not the outcome 23 intended by the 1996 Act. In the Supreme Court's January decision in Iowa 24 Utilities Board, Justice Breyer said it best when he stated, "A totally 25 unbundled world...is a world in which competitors would have little, if

'		anything, to compete about. Such a world is not what the Act envisions." 525
2		U.S, 142 L. Ed. 2d 834, 880.
3		
4	Q.	AT PAGE 9, MR. HOLDRIDGE STATES THAT PACKET SWITCHING
5		CAPABILITIES SHOULD BE PRICED AT TELRIC TO INSURE THAT
6		"RATES FOR THE FINISHED SERVICES ICG PROVIDES TO ITS
7		CUSTOMERS WILL BE COMPETITIVE WITH ANY POTENTIAL
8		OFFERINGS FROM BELLSOUTH." PLEASE COMMENT.
9		
10	Q.	As explained above, the advanced services market is a new market for all
11		providers of telecommunications services, including BellSouth. As such,
12		BellSouth holds no competitive advantage over provision of advanced services
13		to end user customers. As the FCC's September 15, 1999 Press Release stated:
14		Given the <u>nascent</u> nature of this market and the desire of the Commission
15		to do nothing to discourage the rapid deployment of advanced services,
16		the Commission declined to impose an obligation on incumbents to
17		provide unbundled access to packet switching or DSLAMs at this time.
18		The Commission further noted that competing carriers are aggressively
19		deploying such equipment in order to serve this emerging market sector.
20		[Emphasis added]
21		Given the aggressive deployment of advanced services equipment, companies
22		such as ICG should have no problem in obtaining competitive prices for the
23		capabilities they desire for the provision of competitive advanced services.
24		

25 Issue 4: Should a local loop combined with dedicated transport be provided as a

1	UNE?	If so, what is the proposed rate?
2		
3	Q.	IS THE ENHANCED EXTENDED LOOP A UNE AS SUGGESTED BY
4		MR. HOLDRIDGE AT PAGE 10 OF HIS TESTIMONY?
5		
6	A.	No. The FCC did not include the EEL on the UNE list. Apparently, the FCC
7		did consider whether EELs should be on the list of UNEs and decided EELs
8		should not be a network element. To provide EELs as requested by ICG,
9		BellSouth would have to combine UNEs, an activity that BellSouth is not
10		required to do.
11		
12	Q.	DID THE FCC ADDRESS THIS ISSUE IN THE THIRD REPORT AND
13		ORDER?
14		
15	A.	Yes. The FCC held that "[w]e decline to define the EEL as a separate network
16		element in this Order. As discussed above, the Eighth Circuit is currently
17		reviewing whether rules 51.315(c)– (f) should be reinstated. We see no reason
18		to decide now whether the EEL should be a separate network element, in light
19		of the Eighth Circuit's review of those rules." Third Report and Order, \P 478.
20		
21	Q.	TO WHAT EXTENT ARE THERE CURRENTLY COMBINED UNES
22		THAT CONSTITUTE AN EXTENDED LOOP AS MR. HOLDRIDGE
23		CONTENDS?
24		
25	A.	The only potential circumstances where there may be currently combined

1		UNEs that constitute an EEL is where ICG has previously purchased special
2		access services that terminate in its collocation space. BellSouth is still
3		determining whether even this circumstance does, in fact, constitute currently
4		combined UNEs. Even if it does, it is unclear whether ICG can convert the
5		special access to UNEs prior to completion of the FCC's Further Notice of
6		Proposed Rulemaking.
7		
8	Q.	MR. HOLDRIDGE STATES (AT PAGE 10) THAT BY USING EELS, ICG
9		COULD SERVE CUSTOMERS LOCATED IN AREAS WHERE ICG HAS
10		INSUFFICIENT CUSTOMERS TO JUSTIFY THE COST OF
11		COLLOCATION. PLEASE RESPOND?
12		
13	A.	ICG should look to Section 251 of the Act for guidance, where the resale
14		provisions of the Act are made to order for this situation. In drafting the Act,
15		Congress recognized that there would be situations in which a CLEC might be
16		unable to economically serve customers using UNEs until such time as the
17		CLEC developed sufficient customers in a location to justify placing a
18		collocation arrangement. Resale allows a CLEC to obtain customers and, when
19		it has a sufficient number of customers to justify a collocation arrangement, the
20		CLEC can convert those customers to the CLEC's service. BellSouth should
21		not be required to fund a CLEC's expansion plans by requiring BellSouth to
22		provide EELs to CLECs at TELRIC pricing.
23		
24	Q.	DID THE FCC DISCUSS BELLSOUTH'S CONCERN ABOUT
25		ARBITRAGE OF SPECIAL ACCESS IN ITS RECENT THIRD REPORT

1		AND ORDER?
2		
3	A.	Yes. The FCC concluded as follows:
4		"We conclude that the record in this phase of the proceeding is
5		insufficient for us to determine whether or how our rules should
6		apply in the discrete situation involving the use of dedicated
7		transport links between the incumbent LEC's serving wire
8		center and an interexchange carrier's switch or point of
9		presence (or "entrance facilities"). Only a handful of parties
10		commented on the special access arbitrage issue that was first
11		raised by BellSouth's August 9, 1999, ex parte filing. We
12		believe that we should fully explore the policy ramifications of
13		applying our rules in a way that potentially could cause a
14		significant reduction of the incumbent LECs' special access
15		revenues prior to full implementation of access charge and
16		universal service reform." Third Report and Order, ¶ 489.
17		
18	Q.	DOES THE FCC PLAN TO REVISIT THE ISSUE OF LIMITATIONS ON
19		SPECIAL ACCESS?
20		
21	A.	Yes. The Commission issued a Fourth Further Notice of Proposed
22		Rulemaking to consider, in part, "whether there is any basis in the statute or

our rules under which incumbent LECs could decline to provide entrance facilities at unbundled network element prices." *Third Report and Order*, ¶ 494. The NPRM will address the concern "that allowing requesting carriers to

23

24

1		obtain combinations of loop and transport unbuildred network elements based
2		on forward-looking cost would provide opportunities for arbitrage of special
3		access services." Third Report and Order, ¶ 494.
4		
5	Issue	6: Should volume and term discounts be available for UNEs? Have specific
6	volum	es and terms for given items been identified? If so, what are they?
7		
8	Q.	AT PAGES 16-17, MR. STARKEY SUGGESTS THAT NEGOTIATIONS
9		WITH BELLSOUTH ARE AIMED AT OBTAINING A COMMERCIAL
0		RELATIONSHIP SIMILAR TO THOSE ICG HAS WITH OTHER
1		SUPPLIERS. PLEASE RESPOND.
2		
3	A.	Mr. Starkey states that one of the common commercial arrangements ICG
4		enters into is volume and term discounts. Mr. Starkey fails to acknowledge
5		one critical point; the baseline prices that ICG's other suppliers negotiate from
16		are not cost-based prices. BellSouth is in a unique position as supplier to ICG
7		and other CLECs in that BellSouth's prices are already cost-based prices.
8		Other suppliers simply reduce their profit margin to offer volume and term
19		discounts. Prices based on TELRIC do not contain any profit margin.
20		Therefore, it is not appropriate to require BellSouth to further reduce prices
21		that are already set at cost. Further, if Congress or the FCC has intended for
22		CLECs to receive volume and term discounts, they could easily have included
23	•	such a specific requirement in the Act and/or the FCC's rules. They did not.
24		

MR. STARKEY SUGGESTS (AT PAGE 23) THAT BELLSOUTH USES

25 Q.

1		VOLUME AND TERM DISCOUNTS IN ITS RETAIL PRICING
2		STRUCTURE AND THAT COMPETITIVE MARKETS REQUIRE SUCH
3		PRICING. PLEASE RESPOND.
4		
5	A.	Once again Mr. Starkey misses a critical point. If UNEs were provided in a
6		competitive market, they wouldn't be UNEs, in that BellSouth would be able
7		to sell them at market rates as opposed to TELRIC prices. At such time as
8		UNEs are available from a variety of sources, they will no longer be required
9		to be provided by BellSouth and certainly not at TELRIC prices. Tariffed
10		services, with the exception of certain basic local exchange services, are priced
11		above cost and contain some amount of contribution that can be foregone
12		under volume and term arrangements. No such latitude exists with UNEs
13		priced at cost.
14		
15	Q.	PLEASE RESPOND TO MR. STARKEY'S CONTENTION (PAGES 20-22)
16		THAT VOLUME AND TERM COMMITMENTS BY ICG WOULD
17		REDUCE TELRIC PRICES.
18		
19	A.	There is no rational basis for ICG's position. The basic flaw in Mr. Starkey's
20		analysis is that he assumes that TELRIC prices were based on network costs as
21		they are instead of what they are projected to be. For example, Mr. Starkey's
22		claim that a volume commitment by ICG would increase the utilization of
23		plant ignores the way the costs were developed. Plant utilization in the study
24		represents the Authority's view of plant utilization in the future. Any impact
25		of volume requested by ICG is already included in this utilization percentage

1	Ms. Caldwell addresses this subject in greater detail in her rebuttal testimony.
2	
3	Q. PLEASE RESPOND TO MR. STARKEY'S CONTENTION (PAGE 22)
4	THAT LONG-TERM COMMITMENTS BY ICG WOULD MINIMIZE
5	BELLSOUTH'S RISK OF STRANDED INVESTMENT.
6	
7	A. Mr. Starkey is basing his conclusion on an incorrect understanding of the cost
8	studies. He is correct that in the retail world the risk of stranded plant costs
9	would be reduced by a term commitment. However, none of the costs that a
10	term commitment would reduce are included in TELRIC. Therefore, the
11	impact of any reduction, even if it exists, is irrelevant with respect to UNE
12	prices. The other major point that Mr. Starkey misses is that retail prices
13	typically exceed costs. Consequently, discounts due to term commitments
14	simply reduce the level of contribution, not the level of costs. UNE prices do
15	not include any contribution. And since there are no savings of TELRIC costs,
16	there is no basis for offering term discounts.
17	
18	Issue 5: Should BellSouth be subject to liquidated damages or other concessions or
19	remedies for failing to meet the time intervals for provisioning UNEs? If so, what
20	level of damages, concessions or remedies are appropriate? What time intervals?
21	
22	Issue 19: Should BellSouth be required to pay liquidated damages when BellSouth
23	fails to install, provision, or maintain any service in accordance with the due dates
24	set forth in an interconnection agreement between the Parties?

Issue 20: Should BellSouth continue to be responsible for any cumulative failure in a one-month period to install, provision, or maintain any service in accordance with the due dates specified in the interconnection agreement with ICG? 3 4 Issue 21: Should BellSouth be required to pay liquidated damages when BellSouth's service fails to meet the requirements imposed by the interconnection agreement 7 with ICG (or the service is interrupted causing loss of continuity or functionality)? 8 Issue 22: Should BellSouth continue to be responsible when the duration of 9 service's failure exceeds certain benchmarks? 10 11 Issue 23: Should BellSouth be required to pay liquidated damages when BellSouth's 12 service fails to meet the grade of service requirements imposed by the 13 interconnection agreement with ICG? 14 15 Issue 24: Should BellSouth continue to be responsible when the duration of 17 service's failure to meet the grade of service requirements exceeds certain benchmarks? 18 19 Issue 25: Should BellSouth be required to pay liquidated damages when BellSouth 20 fails to provide any data in accordance with the specifications of the interconnection 21 22 agreement with ICG? 23 Issue 26: Should BellSouth continue to be responsible when the duration of its 24 failure to provide the requisite data exceeds certain benchmarks?

1	Q.	MS. ROWLING ADDRESSES PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND
2		ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS IN HER TESTIMONY, SPECIFICALLY
3		RECOMMENDING THAT THE AUTHORITY APPROVE THE TEXAS
4		PLAN PER EXHIBITS 1 AND 2 TO HER TESTIMONY. PLEASE
5		RESPOND.
6		
7	A.	Performance measurements and performance guarantees, or penalties, in the
8		"Texas Plan" are two separate and distinct issues. The issue of performance
9		measurements is addressed in Mr. Coon's testimony. My direct testimony
10		addresses several reasons why ICG's request for penalties should be denied. It
11		is unnecessary for the Authority to mandate recourse through a penalty
12		mechanism.
13		
14	Q.	CAN DISPUTES OVER PERFORMANCE BE HANDLED IN ANOTHER
15		MANNER?
16		
17	A.	Yes. For example, the Georgia Public Service Commission ("GPSC")
18		established an expedited dispute resolution process in its proceeding on
19		performance measures (Docket Number 7892-U). This process specifies that
20		when a performance dispute arises, BellSouth and the CLEC will immediately
21		assemble a Joint Investigative Team to be co-chaired by representatives of
22		BellSouth and the CLEC. The investigative team will conduct a root-cause
23		analysis to determine the source of the problem, if one exists, and then develop
24		a plan for remedying it. If the dispute cannot be resolved between the
25		companies, then either party to the dispute may file a formal complaint with

I		the Grac for binding mediation. A ruling must be made within 13 days of the
2		filing of the complaint. Such a mechanism solves the problem. It is interesting
3		to note, however, that ICG has not availed itself of the process in Georgia.
4		All ICG's proposal does is create another set of issues to dispute. In addition,
5		remedies also exist through the FCC and the courts if BellSouth is not
6		performing.
7		
8	Q.	IF THE AUTHORITY CHOOSES TO IMPOSE ENFORCEMENT
9		MECHANISMS, WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S ALTERNATIVE?
10		
11	A.	As stated in my direct testimony, BellSouth is currently working with the FCC
12		to finalize BellSouth's proposal for self-effectuating enforcement measures. It
13		would be fruitless to include a penalty plan in an interconnection agreement
14		until BellSouth has reasonable assurance that the plan will satisfy the FCC's
15		concerns under Section 271 of the Act. Once finalized, and upon grant of 271
16		relief in Tennessee, these voluntary enforcement mechanisms would be made
17		available to all CLECs with interconnection agreements in Tennessee.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT ARE SOME GENERAL CONCERNS WITH THE TEXAS PLAN?
20		
21	A.	There are several concerns with the performance remedies of the Texas Plan.
22		Aside from the concerns BellSouth has already raised: (1) the penalties are
23		arbitrary, (2) penalties are applied on a daily basis, so the amounts can be
24		unjustifiably huge, with no opportunity for BellSouth to mitigate the problem,
25		(3) concerns have been raised regarding the proposed statistical tests during the

1		Louisiana collaborative process, in which the parties have been working on an
2		appropriate test for months, and (4) the remedies create an incentive for ICG to
3		cause poor performance.
4		
5	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
6		
7	A.	Yes.
8		
9	183387	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF GEORGIA

COUNTY OF FULTON)

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the State and County aforesaid, personally came and appeared Alphonso J. Varner, Senior Director-State Regulatory for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., who, being by me first duly sworn deposed and said that:

He is appearing as a witness before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority in Docket No. 99-00377 on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., and if present before the Authority and duly sworn, his testimony would be set forth in the annexed testimony consisting of 29 pages and 0 exhibit(s).

Alphoneo J. Varne

Sworn to and subscribed

before me this 15/1

day of October, 1999

NOTARY PUBLIC

TERESA L. ROCKWELL

Notary Public, Gwinnett County, Georgia

My Commission Expires October 28, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 10, 1999, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record, via the method indicated:

[) Hand[] Mail[] Facsimile[] Overnight	Gary Hotvedt, Esquire Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0500
[] Hand [] Mail [] Facsimile [] Overnight	Henry Walker, Esquire Boult, Cummings, et al. 414 Union Ave., #1600 P. O. Box 198062 Nashville, TN 37219-8062